



Table of contents





Executive summary	3
A burning need for transformational change	4
Benchmarking the oil and gas sector	6
ACT methodology: scope and assessment	7
Selecting the 100 keystone oil and gas companies	9
Next steps	10
Appendix	
Appendix I: Companies in the Oil and Gas Benchmark 2021	11
Appendix II: Glossary of selected ACT Oil and Gas methodology terms	14
Appendix III: References	15

Executive summary



The World Benchmarking Alliance (WBA) has formed a strategic partnership with CDP and the Assessing low-Carbon Transition (ACT) initiative developed by CDP and ADEME, the French Agency for Ecological Transition, to accelerate a global decarbonisation and energy transformation. WBA's Climate and Energy Benchmark ranks companies against the climate and energy transition required to meet the Paris Agreement, by engaging with the companies themselves, evaluating their current and – importantly – their future plans in terms of decarbonisation pathways, as well as past and present performance to assess future alignment. From 2021, the Climate and Energy Benchmark will also assess companies' contributions to the just transition needed to achieve the SDGs. We expect the benchmarks to drive increased transparency and corporate accountability, moving companies from commitment to action.

This report presents an overview of why WBA is benchmarking companies in the oil and gas sector; the ACT Oil and Gas methodology used for the benchmark; our principles for selecting the 100 companies to be included in the 2021 Benchmark; and the list of companies to be assessed.

"The production and use of oil and natural gas contributes the majority of energy-related worldwide greenhouse gas emissions. The oil and gas sector is thus critical to the decarbonisation and energy transformation needed to achieve Paris goals and the SDGs. Deep transformational change in this industry is needed if we are to meet the well-below 2°C ambition."

Vicky Sins,Decarbonisation and Energy Transformation Lead, WBA



A burning need for transformational change



The clock is ticking. Without urgent action on climate change, the world will experience more extreme weather events, sea level rise and negative impacts on biodiversity, ecosystems and oceans. These will have a disproportionate effect on the poorest and most vulnerable populations for decades to come; and overall, climate change affects billions of people, in both current and future generations. 196 countries signed up to the Paris Agreement in 2015, in the same year 193 countries committed to the UN Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs). The Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change 2018 Special Report on limiting warming to 1.5°C showed that global CO₂ emissions need to fall by about 45% from 2010 levels by 2030 and reach net zero by around 2050¹.

A major decarbonisation and energy transformation is therefore needed to align with global efforts to prevent the worst impacts of climate change and achieve the goals set out in the Paris Agreement of limiting global warming to well below 2°C and pursuing efforts for 1.5°C. This needs to be done in a just and equitable way, so that no one is left behind.

Fossil fuel combustion is the principal source of man-made greenhouse gas emissions worldwide². These emissions result primarily from the use of coal, oil and gas, with oil and natural gas products representing approximately 56% of fuel-related CO_2 emissions worldwide³. Therefore, a major shift from fossil fuels to renewable energy sources and low-carbon electricity is needed to achieve the Paris Agreement and the SDGs. New business models for companies in the oil and gas sector are emerging, including in low-carbon energy, energy demand reduction, and carbon capture and storage.

Companies have a critical role to play in the transformation and specific fossil fuel producers have been linked to 71% of industrial greenhouse gas emissions from 1988 to 2017⁴. The impact of these company activities are not limited just to the emissions they produce but include their influence as well. InfluenceMap, a WBA Ally, reported in 2019 that the five largest publicly traded oil and gas majors spent over US\$1 billion on 'misleading climate-related branding and lobbying' from 2015-2018⁵. There is increasing pressure on investors to divest from fossil fuel assets, recognising that if the Paris commitments are followed, these assets will become stranded⁶. WBA's <u>Financial System Transformation</u> has therefore identified climate change as a key area of focus in developing its benchmark methodology in 2021.

A burning need for transformational change



The oil and gas sector's impacts and risks are not confined however to publicly listed or privately held companies. State-owned companies (SOEs) produce about two-thirds of the world's oil and gas and own about 90% of global reserves. Many countries with SOEs are highly dependent on revenues from these fossil fuels and are often lower-income countries⁷. Recent research by Carbon Tracker shows that 400 million people live in the most vulnerable fossil-fuel reliant countries, and that 51% of revenue from 'petrostates' would be lost if low-carbon trajectories are followed⁸. A just transition in the oil and gas sector is therefore crucial to ensure that no one is left behind.



Benchmarking the oil and gas sector



WBA is developing a range of benchmarks to assess the progress of 2,000 companies across seven system transformations needed to achieve the UN's Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs) and accelerate sustainable business beyond 2030. The private sector has a crucial role to play in advancing the SDGs, but there needs to be real change in the way that business impact is measured. By publishing free, publicly available benchmarks, WBA envisions a future where companies, investors, policymakers, civil society and individuals are empowered with data to take action and encourage more sustainable business practices across all sectors.

The WBA Climate and Energy Benchmark is an accountability mechanism that measures corporate progress against the Paris Agreement and whether companies are contributing to a just transition. Private sector engagement alongside action by governments and civil society are critical for meeting the SDGs and the Paris goals.

In 2021, the Climate and Energy Benchmark will assess and rank 100 companies on their alignment with a low-carbon world and their contributions to a just transition. This report sets out how we will do that.



ACT methodology: scope and assessment



The <u>ACT initiative</u> assesses organisations' readiness to transition to a low-carbon economy, using future-oriented indicators. The ACT methodologies assess companies' climate strategy, business models, investments, operations and management of greenhouse gas emissions. Based on the sectoral decarbonisation approach developed by the <u>Science-Based Targets initiative</u>, ACT evaluates a company's alignment with a low-carbon world, by establishing a decarbonisation pathway for each company that can be compared against its publicly stated low-carbon targets and transition plan. The application of the sectoral decarbonisation approach is described in the <u>ACT framework</u>.

ACT published its <u>Oil and Gas sector methodology</u> in February 2021. WBA will use assessments created using this methodology to create its Oil and Gas Benchmark 2021.

Scope of the methodology and the benchmark

The ACT Oil and Gas methodology is designed to assess various types of companies: integrated and semi-integrated oil and gas companies; upstream (only); midstream (only); and downstream (only) companies. Companies with oil and gas equipment and services (only), petrochemical (only), oil and gas trading (only), and exploration (only) activities are excluded from the scope of the methodology. This is because there is a limited scope for action on decarbonisation in these activities. The WBA Oil and Gas Benchmark therefore excludes such companies. The large majority of GHG

emissions (around 80% along the value chain) induced by oil and gas companies take place in the downstream segment during the combustion of sold products for final energy use. The Oil and Gas Benchmark therefore focuses on integrated oil and gas companies, with activities across the value chain. (please see below for more detail on how companies were selected for the benchmark).

The Oil and Gas Benchmark as a roadmap

The Oil and Gas Benchmark can act as a roadmap for companies to show how they can contribute to achieving the SDGs and the Paris Agreement goals. The ACT assessments place a particular emphasis on three key areas; alignment of a company's targets across the value chain (i.e., Scopes 1, 2 and 3); future projected emissions intensity across the value chain; and locked-in emissions (i.e., emissions planned or 'locked in' by a company from its upstream oil and gas assets between now and 2050, compared to its carbon budget). Companies will also be assessed on their implementation of lowcarbon business models, which include the production of sustainable fuels and gases; production and sales of low-carbon electricity; sales of energy efficiency services; and reducing fossil fuel activities. The ACT methodology's definitions of Sustainable Renewable Electricity. Electricity (Storage) Equipment, Biofuels and Biogas and Hydrogen are aligned with the EU Taxonomy. Further, each company's development of a low-carbon transition plan and scenario analysis, determining the impact of the transition on its strategy or business model, are also important elements of the assessments.

ACT methodology: scope and assessment



FIGURE 1: Low-carbon aligned state for companies in the oil and gas sector

Developing the methodology and alignment with other frameworks

A trend is evident of lowering emissions intensitiy of its whole value chain (scope 1+2 and scope The company discloses a 1+2+3) and developing low-carbon transition plan that details projects. The company achieved operation steps to achieve this decrease through deliberate their objectives. operational decisions. TRANSITION **LEGACY PLAN** COMMITMENT **PRESENT** CONSISTENCY

The company has science-based targets on every segment of the value chain: its owned asset as well as its products sold. These objectives are aligned with a relevant time horizon which reflects the lifetime of O&G assets.

The company is currently investing in R&D project related to low-carbon and removal technologies and deploying a strategy to increase the use of low-carbon products and energy efficiency services.

The company's targets, transition plan, present action and past legacy show a consistent willingness to achieve the goals of low-carbon transition. The company publicly supports more stringent standards and emissions disclosure.

The ACT Oil and Gas methodology was developed with input from a multistakeholder Technical Working Group. Public consultation and a thorough technical 'road test' were important steps in the development of the ACT Oil and Gas methodology. ACT sought the views and opinions of a wide range of stakeholders including companies, civil society, academics and other relevant experts. The methodology includes indicators that align with the information disclosed by companies using CDP, GRI and SASB. It is also aligned with and supports the objectives of the recommendations made by the Taskforce on Climate-related Financial Disclosures. A mapping table, showing alignment with these standards and frameworks, will be available via https://actinitiative.org/. The ACT team has also been in regular consultation with the developers of the upcoming Science Based Targets Initiative methodology for the Oil and Gas sector. WBA will continue to embrace multi-stakeholder dialogue and consultation throughout the benchmark development process.

Selecting the 100 keystone oil and gas companies



WBA has applied systems thinking to identify the companies that will have a disproportionate influence on meeting the SDGs and the Paris Agreement. We built on leading academic research that put forward the idea of keystone actors, inspired by the concept of 'keystone species' in ecology, to illustrate that the most influential companies in a given industry can operate similarly to keystone species in ecological communities. This means that these companies can have a disproportionate effect on the structure and system in which they operate⁹.

To identify the 100 keystone oil and gas companies for the benchmark, we used the following five criteria and principles established by WBA for selecting keystone companies:

- 1. The company dominates global production revenues and/or volumes within the oil and gas sector.
- 2. The company controls globally relevant segments of production and/or service provision, based on an assessment of production of barrels per day (where this information was available).
- **3.** The company connects (eco)systems globally through subsidiaries and their supply chains.
- **4.** The company influences global governance processes and institutions.
- **5.** The company has a global footprint, particularly in developing countries.

These principles are applied holistically. For principle 5, which is a crucial element to WBA's work, we considered companies from all regions, which resulted in the inclusion of some companies that have relatively small revenues and production volumes compared to some others, to balance this with principles 1 and 2. For principles 4 and 5 in particular, our selection process specifically considered the inclusion of companies headquartered in Organization of the Petroleum Exporting Countries (OPEC) jurisdictions.

The WBA Oil and Gas Benchmark is unique, because it assesses not only publicly listed but also privately and state-owned oil and gas companies.

WBA used various sources to inform the selection of the 100 companies, including the CDP and Climate Accountability Carbon Majors Report¹⁰; and by cross-checking companies assessed by the Transition Pathways Initiative¹¹ and Climate Action 100+¹² to ensure maximum alignment with these initiatives where it fit with our selection principles. In accordance with WBA's systems thinking approach, some companies that have previously been benchmarked in our Electric Utilities Benchmark will also be assessed in the Oil and Gas Benchmark.

Next steps



- 1. WBA will contact all 100 companies to offer engagement throughout the benchmarking process in the coming months. The WBA and CDP teams will share data for validation by each company between March to May 2021. Companies will be provided with resources and materials to learn more about the ACT assessments and WBA Oil and Gas Benchmark ahead of the data collection period.
- 2. We strongly encourage companies to participate in the data validation process and are on hand to answer any questions companies have about the assessments and benchmark. Companies may only submit an appeal to their assessment result if they have actively participated in the data validation process. Please see our FAQs here for further details.
- **3.** The benchmark results will then be published on WBA's website in summer 2021.
- **4.** Thereafter, the WBA Climate and Energy Benchmark team will publish assessments of these companies on their contributions to a just transition. These results are expected in Q3 2021.

If you have questions about the Climate and Energy Benchmark, please reach out to:

Vicky Sins

WBA Decarbonisation and Energy Transformation Lead: v.sins@worldbenchmarkingalliance.org

Claire Prescott

ACT Manager:

claire.prescott@cdp.net

Appendix 1: Companies in the Oil and Gas Benchmark 2021



APPENDIX 1: Companies in the Oil and Gas Benchmark 2021

Alphabetic order	Company name	Country of headquarters
A	Abu Dhabi National Oil Company (ADNOC)	United Arab Emirates
	Ampol Limited	Australia
	Apache Corporation	United States of America
В	Bharat Petroleum Corporation	India
	ВНР	Australia
	BP	United Kingdom
С	California Resources Corporation	United States of America
	Canadian Natural Resources	Canada
	Cenovus Energy	Canada
	Chesapeake Energy Corp	United States of America
	Chevron Corporation	United States of America
	China National Offshore Oil Corporation (CNOOC Group)	China
	China National Petroleum Corporation (CNPC)	China
	China Petroleum and Chemical Corporation Limited (Sinopec)	China
	Compania Espanola de Petroleos SAU (CEPSA)	Spain

Alphabetic order	Company name	Country of headquarters
	ConocoPhillips	United States of America
	Cosmo Energy Holdings	Japan
	CPC Corporation, Taiwan	Taiwan, China
D	Devon Energy Corp	United States of America
E	Ecopetrol	Colombia
	Egyptian General Petroleum Corporation (EGPC)	Egypt
	Emirates National Oil Company (ENOC)	United Arab Emirates
	ENEOS Holdings	Japan
	Engie	France
	Eni	Italy
	Enterprise Products Partners	United States of America
	EOG Resources	United States of America
	Equinor	Norway
	Exxon Mobil	United States of America
F	Formosa Petrochemical Corp	Taiwan, China

Appendix 1: Companies in the Oil and Gas Benchmark 2021



Alphabetic order	Company name	Country of headquarters
G	GAIL (India)	India
	Galp Energia	Portugal
	Gazprom	Russia
	GS Holdings	South Korea
Н	Hellenic Petroleum	Greece
	Hess Corporation	United States of America
	HollyFrontier	United States of America
1	Idemitsu Kosan	Japan
	Indian Oil Corporation (IndianOil)	India
	Inpex	Japan
	Iraq National Oil Company (INOC)	Iraq
K	Kuwait Petroleum Corporation (Q8)	Kuwait
L	Lukoil	Russia
M	Marathon Oil	United States of America
	Marathon Petroleum Corporation	United States of America
	MOL Magyar Olajes Gazipari Nyrt	Hungary
N	Naftogaz	Ukraine

Alphabetic order	Company name	Country of headquarters
	National Iranian Oil Company (NIOC)	Iran
	National Oil Corporation of Libya	Libya
	Naturgy Energy	Spain
	Neste	Finland
	NGL Energy Partners	United States of America
	Nigerian National Petroleum Corporation (NNPC)	Nigeria
	NK KazMunayGaz	Kazakhstan
	Novatek	Russia
0	Occidental Petroleum	United States of America
	Oil and Natural Gas Corporation (ONGC)	India
	OMV	Austria
	Origin Energy	Australia
P	Pertamina	Indonesia
	Petroecuador	Ecuador
	Petroleo Brasileiro (Petrobras)	Brazil
	Petroleos de Venezuela (PDVSA)	Venezuela
	Petroleos Mexicanos (Pemex)	Mexico

Appendix 1: Companies in the Oil and Gas Benchmark 2021



Alphabetic order	Company name	Country of headquarters
	Petroleum Development Oman (PDO)	Oman
	Petroliam Nasional Bhd (PETRONAS)	Malaysia
	PetroSA	South Africa
	Phillips 66	United States of America
	Pioneer Natural Resources	United States of America
	Polski Koncern Naftowy Orlen (PKN Orlen)	Poland
	PTT	Thailand
Q	Qatar Petroleum	Qatar
R	Reliance Industries	India
	Repsol	Spain
	Rosneft	Russia
	Royal Dutch Shell	Netherlands
S	Santos	Australia
	Saras	Italy
	Sasol	South Africa
	Saudi Aramco (SABIC)	Saudi Arabia
	Shaanxi Yanchang Petroleum Group	China

Alphabetic order	Company name	Country of headquarters
	Sinochem Energy	China
	SK Innovation	South Korea
	Sonangol	Angola
	Sonatrach	Algeria
	State Oil Company of Azerbaijan Republic (SOCAR)	Azerbaijan
	Suncor Energy	Canada
	Surgutneftegas	Russia
Т	Targa Resources	United States of America
	Tatneft	Russia
	Total	France
	Türkiye Petrol Rafinerileri	Turkey
	TurkmenGaz	Turkmenistan
U	Ultrapar	Brazil
V	Valero Energy	United States of America
	Varo Energy	Switzerland
	Viva Energy Group	Australia
W	Woodside Petroleum	Australia
Υ	YPF	Argentina

Appendix 2: Glossary of selected ACT Oil and Gas methodology terms



Decarbonisation pathway: A standard, pathway or point of reference against which things may be compared. In the case of pathways for sector methodologies, a sector benchmark is a low carbon pathway for the sector average value of the emissions intensity indicator(s) driving the sector performance. A company's benchmark is a pathway for the company value of the same indicator(s) that starts at the company performance for the reporting year and converges towards the sector benchmark in 2050, based on a principle of convergence or contraction of emissions intensity.

Please note that this is also the definition of the terms: 'benchmark' and 'benchmark pathway' in the ACT Oil and Gas methodology. As 'benchmark' has a specific meaning and application in relation to the work of WBA and its benchmarks, the WBA Climate and Energy Benchmark uses the term decarbonisation pathway.

Fossil fuel: A natural fuel such as coal, oil or gas, formed in the geological past from the remains of living organisms.

Low carbon scenario (or pathway): A low carbon scenario (or pathway) is a well-below 2°C scenario or a scenario with higher decarbonisation ambition. (Note: in the ACT Oil and Gas methodology, 'Reference scenario' is used to refer to these low carbon scenarios.)

Primary energy: Primary energy is an energy form found in nature that has not been subjected to any conversion or transformation process. It is energy contained in raw fuels, and other forms of energy received as input to a system. Primary energy can be non-renewable or renewable.

Renewable energy: Energy from a source that is not depleted when used, such as wind or solar power.

Science-Based Target: To meet the challenges that climate change presents, the world's leading climate scientists and governments agree that it is essential to limit the increase in the global average temperature at below 2°C. Companies making this commitment will be working toward this goal by agreeing to set an emissions reduction target that is aligned with climate science and meets the requirements of the Science-Based Targets Initiative.

The full glossary of ACT terms is available in the methodology.

Appendix 3: References

World Benchmarking Alliance

- IPCC (2018), Global Warming of 1.5°C. An IPCC Special Report on the impacts of global warming of 1.5°C above pre-industrial levels and related global greenhouse gas emission pathways, in the context of strengthening the global response to the threat of climate change, sustainable development, and efforts to eradicate poverty. [Online] Available at: https://www.ipcc.ch/sr15/
- IEA (2020), CO₂ Emissions from Fuel Combustion: Overview. [Online] Available at: https://www.iea.org/reports/co,-emissions-from-fuel-combustion-overview
- 3. ACT initiative (2021), Oil and Gas methodology. [Online] Available at: https://actinitiative.org/resources-2/
- CDP and Climate Accountability Institute (2017), Carbon Majors Report. [Online] Available at: https://www.cdp.net/en/articles/media/new-report-shows-just-100-companies-are-source-of-over-70-of-emissions
- InfluenceMap (2019), Big Oil's Real Agenda on Climate Change. [Online] Available at: https://influencemap.org/report/How-Big-Oil-Continues-to-Oppose-the-Paris-Agreement-38212275958aa21196dae3b76220bddc
- 6. Financial Times (2020), Lex in depth: the \$900bn cost of 'stranded energy assets'. [Online]
- The Guardian (2021), State-owned fossil fuel firms' plan to invest \$1.9tn could destroy climate hopes. [Online] Available at: https://www.theguardian.com/environment/2021/feb/09/state-owned-fossil-fuel-firms-planning-19tn-investments
- 8. Carbon Tracker Initiative (2021), Beyond Petrostates: The burning need to cut oil dependence in the energy transition. [Online] Available at: https://carbontracker.org/reports/petrostates-energy-transition-report/
- 9. H. Österblom, J.-B. Jouffray, C. Folke, B. Crona, M. Troell, A. Merrie and J. Rockström, 2015. Transnational corporations as 'keystone actors' in marine ecosystems, PLOS One, 10(5).
- CDP and Climate Accountability Institute (2017), Carbon Majors Report. [Online] Available at: https://www.cdp.net/en/articles/media/new-report-shows-just-100-companies-are-source-of-over-70-of-emissions
- 11. https://transitionpathwayinitiative.org/tpi/sectors/oil-gas
- 12. http://www.climateaction100.org/



The World Benchmarking Alliance is funded by























COPYRIGHT

This work is the product of the World Benchmarking Alliance. Our work is licensed under the Creative Commons
Attribution-NonCommercial-NoDerivatives 4.0 International
License. To view a copy of this license, visit
www.creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/.

World Benchmarking Alliance

Rhijnspoorplein 10-38, 1018 TX Amsterdam The Netherlands www.worldbenchmarkingalliance.org