

Corporate Human Rights Benchmark 2022 Company Scoresheet



Company Name Micron Technology

Industry ICT (Own operations and Supply Chain)

Overall Score 13.7 out of 100

Theme Score	Out of	For Theme
1.7	10	A. Governance and Policies
2.7	25	B. Embedding Respect and Human Rights Due Diligence
4.5	20	C. Remedies and Grievance Mechanisms
2.1	25	D. Performance: Company Human Rights Practices
2.7	20	E. Performance: Responses to Serious Allegations

Please note that any small differences between the Overall Score and the added total of Measurement Theme scores are due to rounding the numbers at different stages of the score calculation process.

Please note also that the "Not met" labels in the Explanation boxes below do not necessarily mean that the company does not meet the requirements as they are described in the bullet point short text. Rather, it means that the analysts could not find information *in public sources* that met the requirements *as described in full* in the CHRB 2022 Methodology document for the sector concerned. For example, a "Not met" under "General HRs Commitment", which is the first bullet point for indicator A.1.1, does not necessarily mean that the company does not have a general commitment to human rights. Rather, it means that the CHRB could not identify a public statement of policy in which the company commits to respecting human rights.

Detailed assessment

A. Governance and Policies (10% of Total)

A.1 Policy Commitments (5% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
A.1.1	Commitment to respect human rights	1	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: General HRs commitment: The Company states 'We are strongly committed to respecting and protecting human rights wherever we operate.' [2020 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 2020: micron.com] Score 2 • Not Met: Commitment to the UNGPs: It indicates that 'At Micron, we are committed to respecting human rights. To ensure the integrity of our efforts, Micron's Human Rights Policy is guided by common principles found within the () UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights'. However, 'guided by' is not considered a formal statement of commitment according to CHRB wording criteria. [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] • Not Met: Commitment to the OECD Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises: It indicates that 'At Micron, we are committed to respecting human rights. To ensure the integrity of our efforts, Micron's Human Rights Policy is guided by common principles found within the () the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development Guidelines for Multinational Enterprises'. However, 'guided by' is not considered a formal statement of commitment according to CHRB wording criteria. [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com]
A.1.2.a	Commitment to respect the human rights of workers: ILO Declaration on Fundamental	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Company has a commitment to the ILO Core: The HR Policy states that 'we are committed to respecting human rights. To ensure the integrity of our efforts, Micron's Human Rights Policy is guided by common principles found within the () International Labor Organization's Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work'. However, 'guided by' is not considered a formal statement of

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
	Principles and Rights at Work		commitment according to CHRB wording criteria. [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] Not Met: Company has a explicit commitment to All four ILO Core: The HR policy covers child labour, non-discrimination, and forced labour. In relation to freedom of association and collective bargaining, it states that 'Micron respects the rights of workers to form and join trade unions of their own choosing, to bargain collectively and to peacefully assemble as permitted under applicable local law'. However, it is not clear whether it is committed to respect these rights in all contexts and locations (i.e. alternative mechanisms for those countries where there are legal restrictions to the exercise of these rights), as the Company indicates that it respects these rights 'under applicable local law'. [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 Not Met: Company expect suppliers to commit to ILO Core: The letter 'Company Commitment' indicates that 'Micron Technology, Inc. is committed to actively implementing the Responsible Business Alliance (RBA), () and requires its suppliers to do the same'. The RBA Code indicates that 'provisions in this Code are derived from and respect internationally recognized standards including the ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work'. However, it is not clear the Company expects suppliers to commit to respect the human rights that the ILO has declared to be fundamental rights at work. [RBA V.7, 2021: responsiblebusiness.org] & [Company Commitment, N/A] Not Met: Company explicitly list All four ILO for suppliers: The letter 'Company Commitment' indicates that 'Micron Technology, Inc. is committed to actively implementing the Responsible Business Alliance (RBA), () and requires its suppliers to do the same'. The RBA Code covers child labour, non-discrimination, and forced labour. In relation to freedom of association and collective bargaining, the it states the following: 'In conformance with local law, participants shall respect the right of all workers to form
A.1.2.b	Commitment to respect the human rights of workers: Health and safety and working hours	0.5	

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			solving health and safety issues in the workplace'. It then lists and describes its health and safety standards, that include: Occupational Safety, Emergency Preparedness, Occupational Injury and Illness, Industrial Hygiene, Physically Demanding Work, Machine Safeguarding, Sanitation, Food, and Housing, Health and Safety Communication. [RBA Code of Conduct, 1/1/2018: responsiblebusiness.org] & [Company Commitment, N/A] • Not Met: Expect suppliers to commit to ILO labour standard or to 48 hours regular work week: The letter 'Company Commitment' indicates that 'Micron Technology, Inc. is committed to actively implementing the Responsible Business Alliance (RBA), () and requires its suppliers to do the same'. It RBA Code indicates that 'Working hours are not to exceed the maximum set by local law. Further, a workweek should not be more than 60 hours per week, including overtime, except in emergency or unusual situations. All overtime must be voluntary. Workers shall be allowed at least one day off every seven days'. However, no formal commitment about respecting the ILO conventions on working hours was found. Alternatively, the Company would achieve this by committing to a 48 hours regular working
			week, and consensual overtime paid at a premium rate. [RBA Code of Conduct, 1/1/2018: responsiblebusiness.org] & [Company Commitment, N/A]
A.1.3.a.ICT	Commitment to respect human rights particularly relevant to the industry – responsible sourcing of minerals (ICT)	1	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 * Met: Responsible mineral sourcing: The Company 'is committed to ensuring that conflict minerals used in the manufacture of its Products do not directly or indirectly fund violence or human rights abuses in the Democratic Republic of the Congo or adjoining countries'. [Conflict Minerals Policy] & [Conflict Minerals Policy] * Met: Based on OECD Guidance: The Company 'executes due diligence on the source and chain of custody of conflict minerals in its supply chain in conformance with the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development Due Diligence Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas'. [Conflict Minerals Policy] * Met: Requires suppliers to commit to responsible mineral sourcing: The Company's Supplier Requirements 'requires its suppliers to source conflict minerals from smelters validated (or on the path to validation) as compliant with conflict-free sourcing standards like the Conflict Free Smelter Program'. The Supplier Requirements Standard 'describes the minimum quality, business process, manufacturing, compliance, and documentation requirements for Suppliers'. Additionally, supplier compliance includes 'Adopting a conflict mineral or responsible mineral policy dedicated to the goal of achieving a conflict-free supply chain'. Moreover, the letter 'Company Commitment' indicates that 'Micron Technology, Inc. is committed to actively implementing the Responsible Business Alliance (RBA), () and requires its suppliers to do the same'. The Code indicates that 'Participants shall adopt a policy and exercise due diligence on the source and chain of custody of the tantalum, tin, tungsten, and gold in the products they manufacture to reasonably assure that they are sourced in a way consistent with the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas or an equivalent

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
A.1.3.b.ICT	Commitment to respect human rights particularly relevant to the industry – vulnerable groups (ICT)	1	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: Migrant worker's rights: The letter 'Company Commitment' indicates that 'Micron Technology, Inc. is committed to actively implementing the Responsible Business Alliance (RBA), () and requires its suppliers to do the same'. The Code indicates that 'Participants are committed to uphold the human rights of workers, and to treat them with dignity and respect as understood by the international community. This applies to all workers including () migrant'. [RBA V.7, 2021: responsiblebusiness.org] & [Company Commitment, N/A] • Met: Expects suppliers to respect at least one of these rights: The letter 'Company Commitment' indicates that 'Micron Technology, Inc. is committed to actively implementing the Responsible Business Alliance (RBA), () and requires its suppliers to do the same'. The RBA Code contains the following commitment to migrant workers rights: 'Participants are committed to uphold the human rights of workers, and to treat them with dignity and respect as understood by the international community. This applies to all workers includingmigrantand any other type of worker'. [RBA V.7, 2021: responsiblebusiness.org] & [Company Commitment, N/A] Score 2 • Not Met: CEDAW/Women's Empowerment Principles • Not Met: Child Rights Convention/Business Principles • Not Met: Convention on migrant workers • Not Met: Expecting suppliers to respect these rights
A.1.4	Commitment to remedy	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: The Company commits to remedy Not Met: Company expect suppliers to make this commitment: The Supplier Requirement Standard indicates that 'Suppliers are responsible for adhering to the SRS requirements. Failure to meet these requirements may result in the loss of existing and/or future Micron business, in addition to other remedies as agreed upon by the parties in applicable agreements'. The Supplier Requirements Standard 'describes the minimum quality, business process, manufacturing, compliance, and documentation requirements for Suppliers'. However, it is not clear the Company expects suppliers to commit it to remedy the adverse impacts on individuals and workers and communities that it has caused or contributed to. [Supplier Requirements Standard CW, 2019: media-micron.com] Score 2 Not Met: Collaborating with other remedy initiatives Not Met: Work with suppliers to remedy impact
A.1.5	Commitment to respect the rights of human rights defenders	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Zero tolerance attacks on HRs Defenders (HRDs): The Code states the following: 'To keep all of our team members safe, we never engage in or tolerate any form of violence. This includes both threats and acts of violence, as well as intimidation, threatening conduct, bullying and attempts to instil fear in others'. Additionally, 'Micron is committed to creating a "speak up" environment where we can report suspected violations and participate in investigations without fear of retribution or retaliation. No one may retaliate against you for raising a concern or participating in an investigation in good faith. Anyone who retaliates against someone who makes a good faith report may be subject to discipline, up to and including termination'. It is unclear if this extends to people who oppose Company operations or who have raised questions about activities. [2020 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 2020: micron.com] Not Met: Company expect suppliers to make this commitment Score 2 Not Met: Work with HRD to create safe and enabling environment

A.2 Policy Commitments (5% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
A.2.1	Commitment		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	from the top		Score 1
			Met: Board level responsibility for HRs: The purpose of the Governance and
			Sustainability Committee (the "Committee") of the Board of Directors (the
		0.5	"Board") of Micron Technology, Inc. (the "Company") shall be to: []
			Sustainability. Assist the Board in overseeing and monitoring the Company's
			development and integration of material social and environmental strategies.'
			Material issues include human rights. [Governance and sustainability committee
			charter, 29/4/2019: micron.com

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			Not Met: Describe HR expertise of Board member Score 2 Met: Speeches/letters by Board members or CEO: The company's CEO, Sanjay Mehrotra, submitted an open letter together with other executives highlighting the importance of respect and inclusion during pride celebration. [CEO Letter on Respect and Inclusion, 2021: micron.com]
A.2.2	Board responsibility	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Board/Committee review HRs strategy Not Met: Examples/trends re HR discussion in the last reporting period: The Company's proxy statement state 'the Board also reviewed our responsible sourcing and human rights efforts, including our conflict minerals report outlining our response to human rights and other concerns related to mineral sourcing as well as our annual modern slavery and human trafficking statement.' However, no further information found on the human rights issues or topics discussed. [SEC Disclosure -Proxy Statement, 2021: investors.micron.com] Score 2 Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1 Not Met: How affected stakeholders/HR experts informed discussions
A.2.3	Incentives and performance management	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Incentives for at least one board member: The Company state that "We provided annual short-term incentive cash awards to our executive officers The Compensation Committee established a profitability goal and five operational metrics for evaluating performance in fiscal 2021, which includes performance on sustainability issues including labor practices, health and safety, environmental issues including climate change and water, and management systems. The company state that 'achievement of certain milestones including diversity, equality and inclusion (increasing representation of underrepresented groups at the new hire and senior leader levels; pay equity for underrepresented groups; increasing the inclusion index score in the Company's engagement survey; and all team members completing one ally training session during fiscal 2021). However, it is unclear what human rights issues is link to the incentive and which board member is responsible for it. [SEC Disclosure -Proxy Statement, 2021: investors.micron.com] Not Met: At least one key HR risk, beyond employee H&S Score 2 Not Met: Performance criteria made public Not Met: Review of other board performance criteria
A.2.4	Business model strategy and risks	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Board process to review bussiness model and strategy Not Met: Describe frequency and triggers for reviewing Score 2 Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1 Not Met: Example of actions decided

B. Embedding Respect and Human Rights Due Diligence (25% of Total) B.1 Embedding Respect for Human Rights in Company Culture and Management Systems (10% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
B.1.1	Responsibility and resources for day-to-day human rights functions	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Score of 1 on A.1.2.a Met: Senior responsibility for HR implementation and decision making: The Company has 'A Chief Compliance Officer responsible for compliance and ethics issues at Micron, a position currently held by Joel Poppen, Vice President of Legal Affairs, General Counsel, and Corporate Secretary.' This is the Executive sponsor for the Human Rights policy. [Compliance and Ethics Program, 24/4/2019: micron.com] & [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 Not Met: How it assigns Day-to-day responsibility Not Met: Day-to-day resources and expertise allocation in own ops Not Met: Resources and expertise allocation in the supply chain

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
B.1.2	Incentives and performance management	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Senior manager incentives for human rights Not Met: At least one key HR risk, beyond employee H&S Score 2
			Not Met: Performance criteria made public Not Met: Review of other senior management performance
B.1.3	Integration with enterprise risk management	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: HR risks is integrated as part of enterprise risk system: 'At Micron we regularly assess risks, including human-rights-related risks, and we regularly review our policies to ensure we are appropriately addressing these risks'. However, it does not mention whether there are included in enterprise risk management system(s). [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] Not Met: Provides an example Score 2 Not Met: Audit Ctte or independent risk assessment: With board oversight and through several senior and executive level councils and committees, we seek relevant guidance from stakeholders and subject matter experts and perform appropriate assessments and adopt and implement policies we deem needed. We regularly conduct due diligence and audits across our supply chain to assess supplier compliance with these and other requirements'. However, no mention of how it assesses the adequacy of the enterprise risk management systems in managing human rights. [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com]
B.1.4.a	Communication /dissemination of policy commitment(s) to workers and external stakeholders	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not Met: Score of 1 on A.1.2.a • Met: Communicates its policy to all workers in own operations: Employees are required to acknowledge that they have read and understood the Code of Conduct, which includes parts of the Company's human rights policy. The Code has been translated into seven languages. [Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 08/2020: micron.com] & [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 • Not Met: Communication of policy commitments to stakeholder • Not Met: How policy commitments are made accessible to audience
B.1.4.b	Communication /dissemination of policy commitment(s) to business relationships	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Meets ILO requirement for suppliers on A.1.2.a Not Met: Steps to communicate policy commitments to supply chain Met: Requires suppliers to communicate policy requirements: The Company sends letters to suppliers communicating them the code application. The letter includes both RBA code and Micron code of business conduct. In the letter it is indicated that 'Micron and its subsidiaries expect suppliers to adopt and implement the RBA Code of Conduct and Micron Code of Business Conduct and Ethics regardless of local business practices or social customs. Micron will also monitor your adherence to these codes, which may include verification visits and audits'. In addition, The RBA Code of Conduct states that 'At a minimum, Participants shall also require its next tier suppliers to acknowledge and implement the Code.' [Global Supply Chain Vice President's RBA statement, 6/5/2019: micron.com] & [RBA V.7, 2021: responsiblebusiness.org] Score 2 Not Met: How HR commitments made binding/contractual: The Company indicates that it expects suppliers to meet high standards of ethical performance in all of their business interactions. 'We hold our suppliers must follow RBA standards on labor, health, safety, environment, ethics and management system—regardless of local law or custom.' However, the Company does not describe how its human rights policy commitments are reflected within contractual or other binding arrangements with its suppliers. [2020 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 2020: micron.com] Not Met: Company requires suppliers to cascade down to their suppliers: 'Micron expects our suppliers to meet high standards of ethical performance in all of their business interactions'. However, the Company does not demonstrate that it requires its suppliers to eascade the contractual or other binding requirements down their suppliers to cascade the contractual or other binding requirements down their suppliers to cascade the contractual

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
B.1.5	Training on Human Rights	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Scores at least 1 on A.1.2.a Met: How workers are trained on HR policy commitments: The Company's employees 'receive regular computer-based training and certify annually that they have read, understand and will continue to comply with the Code' of Conduct. 'The Code and all other global policies, including translations in seven languages, are available to all team members on an internal SharePoint site.' The Company 'believes strongly in the importance of up-to-date, comprehensive and easy to understand and follow ethics and compliance policies and practices. Every employee, manager and officer at Micron is expected to live up to these standards and is required to attend regular training sessions on ethics and compliance issues.' [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] & [Compliance and Ethics Program, 24/4/2019: micron.com] Not Met: Trains relevant managers including procurement: The Company 'provides managers and its employees who have direct responsibility for supply chain management with knowledge and information regarding Micron's requirements, including RBA compliance.' No details found, however, on how procurement teams and managers are trained. [Slavery and Human Trafficking, 25/4/2019: micron.com] Score 2 Not Met: Score of 2 on A.1.2.a Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1 Not Met: Disclose % trained
B.1.6	Monitoring and corrective actions	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Scores at least 1 on A.1.2.a Met: Monitoring implementation of HR policy commitments across global ops and supply chain: The Company is audited by the RBA to monitor compliance with the RBA Code of Conduct, and 'all 12 Micron manufacturing facilities earned perfect scores of 200 on RBA audits, qualifying these sites for RBA Platinum recognition.' [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] & [Auditee Preparation RBA, 8/2019: responsiblebusiness.org] Not Met: Proportion of supply chain monitored Not Met: Describe how workers are involved in monitoring Score 2 Not Met: Score of 2 on A.1.2.a Not Met: Describes corrective action process Not Met: Disclose findings and number of corrective action
B.1.7	Engaging and terminating business relationships	1	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: HR affects selection of suppliers: Conflict minerals affect the decision to begin negotiations. Additionally, other 'Suppliers identified as high-risk may be audited in person to ensure they are in compliance with' the Company's Code of Conduct. The Company 'may develop a mitigation plan and request improvement actions of any suppliers found to be out of compliance or the supplier may be removed from the supply base.' This process applies to both the selection of new suppliers and renewal of supplier relationships. [Supplier Requirements Standard, 2019] & [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] • Met: HR affects on-going supplier relationships: See above [Supplier Requirements Standard, 2019] & [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 • Not Met: Describe positive incentives offered to respect human rights • Not Met: Working with suppliers to meet HR requirements: The Company states the following: 'Micron is currently working with RBA and several suppliers in Taiwan to better understand foreign migrant workers' experiences and address any violations that may be occurring in these locations. During an assessment of two of these suppliers in 2018, [] we discovered some dorm overcrowding at one supplier site due to an increase in workers. We expect this supplier to make improvements promptly to its workers' living conditions.' However, this indicator looks for proactive work carried out together with suppliers to improve their practices (not as a response to non-compliances). [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com]
B.1.8	Approach to engagement	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Stakeholder process or systems to identify and engage with workers/communities in the last two years

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
	with affected		Not Met: Discloses stakeholders that HRs may be affected
	stakeholders		Not Met: Provides two examples of engagement with stakeholders
			Score 2
			Not Met: Analysis of stakeholder views on company's HR issues
			Not Met: Describe how views influenced company's HR approach

B.2 Human Rights Due Diligence (15% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
B.2.1	Identifying human rights risks and impacts	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Identifying risks in own operations Not Met: Identifying risks through relevant business relationships: The Company states: 'We monitor and address myriad potential supply chain risks, from natural disasters to financial vulnerability to human rights infractions'. However, no evidence of a description of the process to identify the human rights risks. [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 Not Met: Describe ongoing global risk identification in consultation with stakeholder/HR experts Not Met: Triggered by new circumstances Not Met: Describes risks identified
B.2.2	Assessing human rights risks and impacts	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Describe process for assessment of HR risks and discloses salient HR issues: The Company describes the following: 'At Micron we regularly assess risks, including human-rights-related risks, and we regularly review our policies to ensure we are appropriately addressing these risks. [] We regularly conduct due diligence and audits across our supply chain to assess supplier compliance with these and other requirements.' The Company describes its process to assess risks from its different suppliers (assesses suppliers, gives them a score, audits and carries out plans). However, it is not clear the process it follows to assess the different human rights it faces as a Company. Evidence seems to focus in determining suppliers' specific risks and compliance, as the Company also reports evidence of audits. The company indicate during feedback process that 'As an RBA member, Micron participates in the same RBA assessment and audit processes for our own operations that our suppliers participate in, including assessment of human rights impacts noted in the RBA Code, such as forced and child labor.' However, no public information found in relation to that. [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] & [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] Not Met: How process applies to supply chain Not Met: Public disclosure of the results of HR assessment Score 2 Not Met: Meets all requirements under score 1 Not Met: How it involved affected stakeholders in the assessment
B.2.3	Integrating and acting on human rights risks and impact assessments	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: Action Plans to mitigate risks: In order to mitigate risks, the Company updates its policies following the risk assessment. 'At Micron we regularly assess risks, including human-rights-related risks, and we regularly review our policies to ensure we are appropriately addressing these risks. With board oversight and through several senior and executive level councils and committees, we seek relevant guidance from stakeholders and subject matter experts and perform appropriate assessments and adopt and implement policies we deem needed.' [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] • Not Met: Description of how global system applies to supply chain: Although the Company provides evidence of supply chain monitoring, this indicator looks for evidence of general action plans carried out to prevent and mitigate human right salient issues of its supply chain. Evidence found focuses in due-diligence audits for monitoring compliance with policies. [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] • Not Met: Example of actions decided on at least 1 salient HR issues: The Company indicates that it is implementing 'Responsible Business Alliance's guidelines on working hours, which state that employees in all functions should work no more than 60 hours per week, with one day off every six days. We are also expanding offerings to support employee mental health'. However, this indicator looks for evidence of action plans taken as a response to human rights salient issues identified. The Company describes these actions, however, it is not clear if it is

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
B.2.4	Tracking the effectiveness of actions to respond to human rights risks and impacts	0	made in the context of an improvement action plan due to an assessed salient issue. [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 • Not Met: Meets all requirements under score 1 • Not Met: Involve stakeholders in decisions about actions The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not Met: System for tracking or monitor if actions taken are effective: The Company provided evidence of monitoring its Human Rights commitments, however, no description of a system to monitor actions taken could be found. • Not Met: Lessons learnt from checking system effectiveness Score 2 • Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1
B.2.5	Communicating on human rights impacts	0	Not Met: Involve stakeholders in evaluation of actions taken The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Provides two examples of comms with stakeholders Score 2 Not Met: Describe challenges to effective comms and how it is working to address them

C. Remedies and Grievance Mechanisms (20% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
C.1	Grievance channel(s)/mec hanism(s) to receive complaints or concerns from workers	1.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: Channel accessible to all workers: Questions or concerns are directed to the department manager. If the complainant is not comfortable doing so, the alternative is the Compliance Hotline. The Company's Compliance Hotline is 'an ethics and compliance reporting service provided by the Company that permits anyone—employees, customers, vendors, agents, suppliers or members of the general public—to ask questions, report concerns, or follow up on matters on which they have already reported.' [EthicsPoint FAQ, 24/4/2019: secure.ethicspoint.com] & [Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 08/2020: micron.com] Score 2 • Met: Channel is available in all appropriate languages and workers aware: The Company 'has established toll-free numbers in every country in which the Company has facilities. Multilingual operators are available so that callers can make reports or ask questions in their native tongue.' [EthicsPoint FAQ, 24/4/2019: secure.ethicspoint.com] • Met: Describe how workers in the supply chain have access to grievance mechanism: As stated above, the Company mechanism is available to suppliers (anyone). • Not Met: Expect Suppliers to convey expectation to their own suppliers
C.2	Grievance channel(s)/mec hanism(s) to receive complaints or concerns from external individuals and communities	1.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: Grievance mechanism for community: As stated in C.1, the Compliance Hotline is available to members of the general public. [EthicsPoint FAQ, 24/4/2019: secure.ethicspoint.com] Score 2 • Met: Describes accessibility and local languages and stakeholder awareness: As stated previously, the channel is available in all relevant languages where the Company operates. 'The Compliance Hotline has two methods of intake. The first is by toll-free telephone number, which is staffed 24 hours a day, seven days a week, every day of the year. When you call, a professionally trained EthicsPoint intake specialist will guide you through a series of questions designed to identify the relevant details of your report or question. [] The second option is to use the web intake portal system. This intake portal is available anywhere that you have access to the Internet. The intake portal asks you for the same types of relevant details about your question or concern as the telephone-based system. Again, you may choose to remain anonymous, and EthicsPoint will make no attempt to track your web address or otherwise identify you.' [EthicsPoint FAQ, 24/4/2019: secure.ethicspoint.com] • Not Met: Expect supplier to convey expectation to their own suppliers
C.3	Users are involved in the	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Engages users to create or assess system

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
	design and performance of the channel(s)/mec hanism(s)		Not Met: Examples (at least two) of how they do this Score 2 Not Met: Engages with potential or actual users on the improvement of the mechanism Not Met: Provides user engagement example (at least two) on improvement
C.4	Procedures related to the mechanism(s)/c hannel(s) are equitable, publicly available and explained	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Response timescales and how complainants will be informed Not Met: Describe support (technical, financial,etc) available for equal access by complainants Score 2 Not Met: Describe types of outcome to complainant through use of mechanism Met: Escalation to senior/independent level: It may be necessary to 'escalate a complaint or allegation to Legal/Compliance, senior HR leadership, supervisors and/or to senior management, and/or, after consultation with Legal/Compliance, to Micron's Audit Committee Chair, Audit Committee, or Board of Directors.' [Micron Internal Investigations Guidelines: business-humanrights.org]
C.5	Prohibition of retaliation for raising complaints or concerns	1	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: Public statement prohibiting retaliation: The Company states the following: 'No one may retaliate against you for raising a concern or participating in an investigation in good faith. Anyone who retaliates against someone who makes a good faith report may be subject to discipline, up to and including termination.' [Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 08/2020: micron.com] • Met: Practical measures to prevent retaliation: The third party Compliance Hotline allows for anonymous reporting. [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 • Not Met: Company indicate it will not retaliate against workers/stakeholders • Not Met: Expects suppliers to prohibit retaliation against workers/stakeholders
C.6	Company involvement with state- based judicial and non- judicial grievance mechanisms	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not Met: Complainants not asked to waive rights • Not Met: Company does not require confidentiality provisions Score 2 • Not Met: Will work with state based non judicial mechanisms • Not Met: Example of issue resolved (if applicable)
C.7	Remedying adverse impacts	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Describes how remedy has been provided Not Met: Says how it would provide remedy for victims if no adverse impact identified Score 2 Not Met: Changes to systems, processes and practices to stop similar impact Not Met: Describe approach to monitoring implementation of agreed remedy Not Met: Approach to learning from incident to prevent future impacts
C.8	Communication on the effectiveness of grievance mechanism(s) and incorporating lessons learned	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Number grievances filed, addressed or resolved and outcome achieved Not Met: How lessons from mechanism improve management system Score 2 Not Met: Evaluation of the channel/mechanism and changes made as result Not Met: Describes procedures to address delays of outcomes agreed with stakeholders

D. Performance: Company Human Rights Practices (25% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.1.a	Living wage (in		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	own production		Score 1
	or	0	Not Met: Pays living wage or sets target date
	manufacturing		
	operations)		

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			Not Met: Describes how living wage determined: The Company states: 'Micron complies with all applicable wage laws in the jurisdictions in which we operate. We respect and follow local laws relating to minimum wages, overtime pay, and benefits. We further expect our suppliers and contractors to meet these requirements'. However, there is no mention of living wage. [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 Not Met: Paying living wage
D.4.1.b	Living wage (in		Not Met: Definition of living wage reviewed with unions The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
D.4.1.0	the supply chain)	0	Score 1 Not Met: Discloses living wage requirements in supplier code or contracts Not Met: Improving living wage practices of suppliers Score 2 Not Met: Assessment of number affected by payment below living wage Not Met: Provides analysis of trends demonstrating progress
D.4.2	Aligning purchasing decisions with human rights	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Avoids business model pressure on HRs (purchasing practices) Not Met: Practices adopted to pay suppliers in line with agreed timeframes Not Met: Review own operations to mitigate negative impact Score 2 Not Met: Meets all requirements under score 1 Not Met: Examples of how it assessed, addressed and change purchasing practices
D.4.3	Mapping and disclosing the supply chain	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 Not Met: Identifies direct and indirect suppliers back to manufacturing sites (factories or fields): The Company may request 'basic information about Supplier's supply chain resiliency using Supply Chain Mapping, which includes data-points such as manufacturing locations, emergency contacts, recovery time objectives, and locations of critical sub-tier suppliers.' Additionally, it discloses that it maps suppliers at the manufacturing level. However, it is unclear whether this includes all direct and indirect suppliers and components. [Supplier Requirements Standard, 2019] & [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 Not Met: Discloses names and locations of significant parts of SP and why: The Conflict Minerals Report includes a list of smelters/refiners, however, no further evidence found about public list of all suppliers. [Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 2020: investors.micron.com] Not Met: Discloses which direct or indirect suppliers is involved in higher-risk activities
D.4.4.a	Prohibition of child labour: Age verification and corrective actions (in own production or manufacturing operations)	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Met: Does not use child labour: The company Code of Conduct state 'Child labour is not used in any Company operations. We do not use child or forced labor in any of our operations or facilities, and we never participate in human trafficking or slavery of any kind. In all our operations, we do not permit exploitation of children; physical, verbal or emotional abuse.' [2020 Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 2020: micron.com] • Not Met: Age verification of workers recruited: The Company indicates: 'Factories are regularly audited for conformance to these standards, and our human rights policy requires employees to be 16 or older'. However, it is unclear that the Company verifies the age of the employee at the time they are hired. [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] Score 2 • Not Met: Remediation if children identified
D.4.4.b	Prohibition of child labour: Age verification and corrective actions (in the supply chain)	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1 • Not Met: Child Labour rules in codes or contracts: Although there is a commitment against child labour, no details found in relation to age verification measures nor remediation programmes in case child labour is found. [Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 08/2020: micron.com] & [RBA V.7, 2021: responsiblebusiness.org] • Not Met: How working with suppliers on child labour Score 2 • Not Met: Assessement of number affected by child labour in supply chain • Not Met: Analysis of trends in progress made

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.5.a	Prohibition of		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	forced labour:		Score 1
	Recruitment		Not Met: Job seekers and workers do not pay recruitment fee
	fees and costs		Not Met: Commits to fully reimbursing if they have paid
	(in own	0	Score 2
	`		Not Met: How practices are implemented and monitored for agencies, labour
	production or		brokers or recruiters
	manufacturing		
	operations)		
D.4.5.b	Prohibition of		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	forced labour:		Score 1
	Recruitment		• Met: Debt and fees rules in codes or contracts: The code of business conduct and
	fees and costs		ethics contains a commitment against forced labour. In addition, in the context of
	(in the supply		fair labour standards, the code states that "we hold our suppliers to the same high
	chain)		standards that we hold ourselves to. This means we expect our suppliers to
	Citatily		embrace and follow this code and the RBA Code". The RBA code in these matters
			states that: 'Workers shall not be required to pay employers' or agents'
			recruitment fees or other related fees for their employment. If any such fees are
			found to have been paid by workers, such fees shall be repaid to the worker.' [RBA
			V.7, 2021: responsiblebusiness.org
		0.5	Not Met: How working with suppliers on debt & fees: The Company 'is currently
			working with RBA and several suppliers in Taiwan to better understand foreign
			migrant workers' experiences and address any violations that may be occurring in
			these locations. During an assessment of two of these suppliers in 2018, we found
			that they do not charge fees to these workers and do not have issues related to
			working hours. [] Micron aims to continue to further investigate foreign worker
			journeys from home country to working country among our key suppliers and
			address any forced labor violations.' However, no description of how the Company
			works with suppliers to eliminate imposing financial burdens on workers could be
			found. [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com]
			Score 2
			Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by payment of recruitment fees
			Not Met: Analysis of trends in progress made
D.4.5.c	Prohibition of		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	forced labour:		Score 1
	Wage practices		Not Met: Pays workers in full and on time
	(in own	0	Not Met: Payslips show any legitimate deductions
	production or		Score 2
	manufacturing		Not Met: How these practices are monitored for agencies, labour brokers or
	operations)		recruiters
D.4.5.d	Prohibition of		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
ט.4.ס.u			Score 1
	forced labour:		Not Met: Requirement for suppliers to pay workers in full and on time in codes or
	Wage practices		contracts
	(in the supply	0	Not Met: How working with supply chain to pay workers regularly and on time
	chain)		Score 2
			Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by failure to pay directly
			Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by failure to pay directly Not Met: Provides analysis of trends demonstrating progress
D 4 F o	Drobibition of		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
D.4.5.e	Prohibition of		
	forced labour:		Score 1
	Restrictions on		Not Met: Does not retain documents or restrict movement Soors 2
	workers (in	0	Score 2
	own production	0	Not Met: How these practices are monitored for agencies, labour brokers or
	or		recruiters
	manufacturing		
	operations)		
	Toher arions)	<u> </u>	

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.5.f	Prohibition of		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	forced labour:		Score 1
	Restrictions on		Met: Free movement rules in codes or contracts: The code of business conduct
	workers (in the		and ethics contains a commitment against forced labour. In addition, in the context
	supply chain)		of fair labour standards, the code states that "we hold our suppliers to the same high standards that we hold ourselves to. This means we expect our suppliers to
			embrace and follow this code and the RBA Code". The RBA code in this matters
			states that: 'There shall be no unreasonable restrictions on workers' freedom of
			movement in the facility in addition to unreasonable restrictions on entering or
		0.5	exiting company-provided facilities. [] Employers and agents may not hold or
			otherwise destroy, conceal, confiscate or deny access by employees to their
			identity or immigration documents, such as government-issued identification,
			passports or work permits, unless such holdings are required by law.' [RBA V.7,
			2021: responsiblebusiness.org] • Not Met: How working with suppliers on free movement
			Score 2
			Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by retaining docs or restricting
			movement
			Not Met: Provides analysis of trends demonstrating progress
D.4.6.a	Freedom of		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	association and		Score 1
	collective		Not Met: Commits not to interfere with union rights / Steps to avoid intimidation
	bargaining (in		or retaliation: The Company 'respects the rights of workers to form and join trade unions of their own choosing' and to bargain collectively. It also does not 'engage in
	own production		or tolerate any form of violence. This includes both threats and acts of violence, as
	or		well as intimidation, threatening conduct, bullying and attempts to instil fear in
	manufacturing		others'. However, no description of measures in place to prohibit intimidation or
	operations)	1	threats to workings seeking to exercise these rights could be found, nor whether
		1	commitment to non-retaliation covers people seeking to exercise these rights (no
			evidence found in publicly available sources mentioning explicitly this case).
			[Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] & [Code of Business Conduct and Ethics,
			08/2020: micron.com]
			Met: Discloses % total direct operations covered by collective CB agreements: '12 percent of Micron's workforce is covered by collective bargaining agreements.'
			[2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com]
			Score 2
			Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1
D.4.6.b	Freedom of		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	association and		Score 1
	collective		Not Met: FoA & CB rules in codes or contracts: Suppliers are required to adopt similar standards to those in the Human Bights Policy and Code of Condust. The
	bargaining (in		similar standards to those in the Human Rights Policy and Code of Conduct. The Human Rights Policy outlines the respect of the right to Freedom of Association and
	the supply		to Collective Bargain: 'Micron respects the rights of workers to form and join trade
	chain)		union associations of their own choosing, to bargain collectively and to peacefully
			assemble as permitted under applicable local law'. However, no details found on
			alternatives for those countries where there are legal restrictions to the exercise of
			these rights. The Code of Conduct states the following relating to general
			intimidation and harassment: 'we never engage in or tolerate any form of violence.
			This includes both threats and acts of violence, as well as intimidation, threatening
			conduct, bullying and attempts to instil fear in others'. However, no particular evidence found in relation to union members or representatives. Finally, the RBA
		0	code, that applies to suppliers, indicates in the context of Freedom of association
			and collective bargaining that 'workers and/or their representatives shall be able to
			openly communicate and share ideas and concerns with management regarding
			working conditions and management practices without fear of discrimination,
			reprisal, intimidation or harassment.' However, the same way that the human
			rights policy, the RBA code commits to collective bargaining 'in conformance with
			local law', not making clear whether there would be alternative mechanisms in
			those places where there are legal restrictions. [Human Rights Policy, 2019: micron.com] & [Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 08/2020: micron.com]
			Not Met: How working with suppliers on FoA and CB
			Score 2
			Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by restrictions to FoA and CB in the
			SP
			Not Met: Provides analysis of trends demonstrating progress

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.7.a	Health and	-	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	safety:		Score 1
	Fatalities, lost		Met: Describes process to identify H&S risks and impacts: Micron continues to
	days, injury,		adapt on-site work protocols to prioritize the health and safety of our team
	occupational		members. We carefully assess risk in each location to protect our teams and support business needs. The return of team members to Micron sites will be based
	disease rates		on a range of considerations, such as virus prevalence, location, job role and
	(in own		business needs. Meanwhile, we continue to enforce the strong preventative
	production of		measures we implemented at our sites from the start of the
	manufacturing		COVID-19 outbreak and deploy new protocols as needed to
	operations)		promote safety.' [2021 Sustainability Report, 2021: media-micron.com]
			Met: Injury Rate or Lost days or Near Miss disclosures for last reporting period:
			The company reported a 0.59 injury rate for the last reporting year. [2021
		0.5	Sustainability Report, 2021: media-micron.com] • Met: Discloses Fatalities for last reporting period: The company reported no
			fatalities for the last reporting period. [2021 Sustainability Report, 2021: media-
			micron.com]
			Not Met: Occupational disease rate for last reporting period
			Score 2
			• Met: Set targets for H&S performance: The Company indicate 'To this end, we are
			strengthening our focus on serious injury prevention by tracking potential and real
			life-altering injuries. These injuries are often associated with our nine critical risks.
			We aim to reduce these serious injuries by placing ownership on each site leader. If
			an incident occurs on a Micron site, we require leaders to take action to help prevent a similar incident in the Micron network. Our goal is to reach zero life
			altering injuries by FY22. ' [2021 Sustainability Report, 2021: media-micron.com]
			Not Met: Met targets or explain why not or what is doing to improve
			management systems
D.4.7.b	Health and		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	safety:		Score 1
	Fatalities, lost		Not Met: Sets out clear Health and Safety requirements
	days, injury,		Not Met: Injury rate disclosures and lost days (or near miss disclosures) for the
	occupational	0	last reporting period • Not Met: Fatalities disclosures for lasting reporting period
	disease rates	U	Not Met: Patalities disclosules for lasting reporting period Not Met: Occupational disease rates for the last reporting period
	(in the supply		Score 2
	chain)		Not Met: How working with suppliers on H&S
			Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by H&S issues in the SP
			Not Met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made
D.4.8.a	Women's rights		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	(in own		Score 1
	production or		Not Met: Process to stop harassment and violence against women Not Met: Working conditions take associated gooder: The Company allows for
	manufacturing		Not Met: Working conditions take account of gender: The Company allows for flexible work arrangements and has a Team Member Assistance Program, which
	operations)		includes helping finding child care at no cost to the employee. Specific to women's
			reproductive health, the Company describes its Mother's Room Guidelines:
			'Supervisors will provide nursing mothers reasonable break time to express breast
			milk for their nursing child for a period of up to two years after the birth of their
		0	child. [] Understanding that every mother's situation is unique, a general
			guideline for supervisors is that expression may take 20-30 minutes at a time and
			may need to happen every two to three hours'. However, no details found in
			relation to impacts at work related to reproductive health (work positions that might affect reproductive health). [Benefits & Wellbeing - Mothers' Rooms, 2019:
			business-humanrights.org]
			Not Met: Measures and steps to address gender pay gap at all levels of
			employment
			Score 2
			Not Met: Meets all requirements under score 1
2.40:	1		Not Met: Provides analysis of trends demonstrating closing gender pay gap
D.4.8.b	Women's rights		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	(in the supply		Score 1 • Not Met: Women's rights in codes or contracts
	chain)		Not Met: How working with suppliers on women's rights
		0	Score 2
			Not Met: Assessment on the number affected by discrimination or unsafe
			working conditions
			Not Met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.9.a	Working hours		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	(in own		Score 1
	production or		• Not Met: Respects max hours, min breaks and rest periods in its own operations
	manufacturing	0	Not Met: Assesses ability to comply with its commitments when allocating
	operations)	· ·	work/targets
	' /		Score 2
			Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1 Not Met: How it implements and charles this in its governments.
D 4 0 b	NA/ = uluius = la accusa		Not Met: How it implements and checks this in its operations The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
D.4.9.b	Working hours		Score 1
	(in the supply		Not Met: Working hours in codes or contracts: Code of business conduct and
	chain)		ethics, required for suppliers, states that 'we follow all applicable laws relating to
			working hours and wages, as well as all RBA Code of Conduct guidelines. Under
			these guidelines, work weeks must not exceed the maximum set by local law and,
			generally, should not be more than 60 hours per week, including overtime. In
		0	addition, all workers are allowed at least one day off every seven days'. However,
			no evidence found of references to international standards, standard weekly hours.
			[Code of Business Conduct and Ethics, 08/2020: micron.com] & [RBA V.7, 2021:
			<u>responsiblebusiness.org</u>]
			Not Met: How working with suppliers on working hours
			Score 2
			Not Met: Assessment of number affected by excessive working hours
			Not Met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made
D.4.10.a	Responsible		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	mineral		Score 1
	sourcing:		Not Met: Due diligence in accordance with OECD Guidance in supplier contracts: The Company code has display to international due diligence has prosticed and forth
	Arrangements		The Company seeks 'to align to international due diligence best practices set forth
	with suppliers		in the Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development's (OECD) Due Diligence Guidelines for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-
	and		Affected and High Risk Areas'. These requirements include providing Conflict
	smelters/refine		Minerals Reporting Templates, updating them within two weeks of any changes,
	rs in the		adopting a conflict mineral policy, participating in audits, and adopting contractual
	mineral		provisions in agreements to adopt conflict mineral policies in the supply chain and
	resource supply		complete diligence surveys. However, it is not clear if contractual/commercial
	chains		agreements with suppliers require them to carry out their due diligence explicitly
			based on OECD Guidelines. [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com] &
			[Supplier Requirements Standard, 2019]
			• Met: Works with smelters/refiners and suppliers to build capacity: The Company
			states 'In 2019, we required all new suppliers to take a Supplier Compliance
			Training, which helped increase awareness of and focus on our requirement that
			Micron suppliers may only use Conformant smelters and refiners.' Additionally, 'we
		0.5	worked with our suppliers to help raise awareness of our expectations, provide
			ongoing education concerning our requirements, and provide training through our risk mitigation and escalation process.' In relation to smelters and refiners, the
			Company describes the following: 'We are members of multiple RMI working
			groups, including the RMI Smelter Engagement Working Group, which was tasked
			with identifying and influencing smelters in the supply chains of RMI members to
			join the RMAP and become validated as responsibly sourced.' [Conflict Minerals
			Report 2019, 2020: investors.micron.com
			Score 2
			Met: Contractual requirement to disclosure smelter/refiner information: Supplier
			compliance includes updating 'CMRTs within two (2) weeks of any smelter or
			refiner changes within the entire supply chain. Such changes may include adding a
			new smelter, removal of a smelter, or when a smelter changes on the Responsible
			Material Initiative ("RMI") list.' As indicated above, Company's conflict mineral
			requirements include 'Adopting contractual provisions in Supplier's supply chain
			agreements that direct successive upstream suppliers to adopt conflict mineral
			policies and complete necessary conflict mineral diligence surveys.' [Supplier
			Requirements Standard, 2019]
	1		Not Met: Contractual requirement covers all minerals

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.10.b	Responsible		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	mineral		Score 1
	sourcing: Risk		Not Met: Risk identification and disclosure in line with OECD Guidance: In relation to risk assessment, the Company indicates that it assessed and analysed tomplates.
	identification		to risk assessment, the Company indicates that it screened and analysed templates from all 3TG-exposed suppliers. 'We reviewed all CMRT responses and updates
	and responses		received [] and determined whether the disclosed smelters were recognized by
	in mineral		RMI or equivalents as processors of 3TG metals, and if so, whether they had been
	supply chain		validated as Conformant with these organizations'. Additionally, it is 'committed to
			ensuring that minerals used in the manufacture of our products do not directly or
			indirectly fund violence or human rights abuses in the DRC or adjoining countries.'
			However, no further details found including risks identified (or potential risks that it
			might face). [Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 2020: investors.micron.com] & [2019 Sustainability report, 2019: micron.com]
			Met: Identification of smelter/refiners and OECD Guidance: The Company
			describes the following: 'Through the CMRT [Conflict Minerals Reporting Template]
		0.5	we request information from suppliers regarding their 3TG supply chains, including
			the names and locations of smelters and refiners of 3TG as well as the country of
			origin of 3TG processed by such smelters and refiners. We then ask that suppliers
			review and acknowledge our Responsible Minerals Policy and our SRS, which sets
			out our expectations that all smelters and refiners in our supply chain are, and remain, validated as Conformant'. 'We reviewed all CMRT responses and updates
			received for the year ended December 31, 2019 and determined whether the
			disclosed smelters or refiners were recognized by RMI or equivalents as processors
			of 3TG metals, and if so, whether they had been validated as Conformant with
			these organizations.' [Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 2020: investors.micron.com]
			Score 2
			Met: Discloses smelters/refiners judged in line with OECD Guidance: The
			Company discloses in its Conflict Minerals Report the list of reported smelters and refiners. This list includes the status of the smelter, which can be conformant, non-
			conformant, etc. [Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 2020: investors.micron.com]
			Not Met: Risk identification and disclosure covers all minerals
D.4.10.c	Reporting on		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	responsible		Score 1
	sourcing of		Met: Describes mineral risk management plan for supply chain: The Company
	minerals		describes the following: 'management of our conflict minerals program was provided by a cross-functional Conflict Minerals Steering Team, with
			representatives from Micron's Procurement, Supply Chain, Quality, Finance, Sales,
			Sustainability and Legal departments, headed by a Senior Procurement Compliance
			Manager. The Steering Team met at least monthly during the year []Oversight of
			the Steering Team was provided by a cross-functional Conflict Minerals Executive
			Team comprised of Vice President-level executives'. 'We reviewed all CMRT
			responses and updates received for the year ended December 31, 2019 and
			determined whether the disclosed smelters or refiners were recognized by RMI or equivalents as processors of 3TG metals, and if so, whether they had been
			validated as Conformant with these organizations. We reviewed supplier CMRTs for
			accuracy and overall adherence to our conflict minerals requirements, as delivered
		0.5	through our inquiry letter to suppliers, and we began our risk mitigation (and
		0.5	escalation processes, if necessary) set out in our conflict minerals procedures with
			suppliers having disclosed any smelters or refiners that were not Conformant. If a
			supplier reports a CMRT that includes smelters or refiners not yet listed as
			Conformant, we implement our risk mitigation procedures, beginning with direct outreach to the supplier and escalating discussions up the management structure
			of our respective companies. We work with these suppliers throughout the risk
			mitigation process to provide awareness of Micron's goal to only source from
			Conformant smelters or refiners.' The Company also participates in RMI smelter
			engagement team tasked with influencing smelters in the supply chains of RMI
			members to join the RMAP and become validated. It also works with teams to
			define future protocols, procedures, issue resolutions and training. The Company also indicates that improvements include 'expand our conflict minerals program to
			a broader Responsible Sourcing program designed to ensure responsible sourcing
			of additional minerals and include more geographies as solutions are deployed and
			implemented through RMI. [Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 2020:
			investors.micron.com]
L	<u> </u>	<u> </u>	INVESTORS THE OTHER OTHE

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			Not Met: Monitoring, tracking and whether better risk prevention/mitigation
			over time: The Company found all its smelters and refiners to be Conformant with
			the RMI Responsible Minerals Assurance Process, which means there are no
			smelters with potential risks. However, no description of a process to monitor and
			track effectiveness of risk prevention and mitigation measures could be found. No
			further details regarding monitoring or tracking risk prevention could be found in
			the latest version of the report. [SEC filing Conflict Minerals Disclosure,
			31/05/2019: investors.micron.com] & [Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 2020:
			investors.micron.com]
			Met: Disclose better risk prevention/mitigation over time
			Score 2
			Not Met: Suppliers and stakeholders engaged in risk management strategy
			Not Met: Risk management and response processes cover all minerals

E. Performance: Responses to Serious Allegations (20% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
E(1).0	Serious		No allegations meeting the CHRB severity threshold were found, and so the score
, ,	allegation No 1		of 10.97 out of 80 points scored in themes A-D has been applied to produce a
			score of 2.74 out of 20 points for theme E.

Disclaimer

A score of zero for a particular indicator does not mean that bad practices are present. Rather it means that we have been unable to identify the required information in public documentation.

See the 2020 Key Findings report and the 2019 technical annex for more details of the research process.

The Benchmark is made available on the express understanding that it will be used solely for general information purposes. The material contained in the Benchmark should not be construed as relating to accounting, legal, regulatory, tax, research or investment advice and it is not intended to take into account any specific or general investment objectives. The material contained in the Benchmark does not constitute a recommendation to take any action or to buy or sell or otherwise deal with anything or anyone identified or contemplated in the Benchmark. Before acting on anything contained in this material, you should consider whether it is suitable to your particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice.

The CHRB is part of the World Benchmarking Alliance ('WBA'). The material in the Benchmark has been put together solely according to the CHRB methodology and not any other assessment models in operation within any of the project partners or EIRIS Foundation as provider of the analyst team.

No representation or warranty is given that the material in the Benchmark is accurate, complete or up-to-date. The material in the Benchmark is based on information that we consider correct and any statements, opinions, conclusions or recommendations contained therein are honestly and reasonably held or made at the time of publication. Any opinions expressed are our current opinions as of the date of the publication of the Benchmark only and may change without notice. Any views expressed in the Benchmark only represent the views of WBA, unless otherwise expressly noted.

While the material contained in the Benchmark has been prepared in good faith, neither WBA nor any of its agents, representatives, advisers, affiliates, directors, officers or employees accept any responsibility for or make any representation or warranty (either express or implied) as to the truth, accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information contained in this Benchmark or any other information made available in connection with the Benchmark. Neither WBA nor any of its agents, representatives, advisers, affiliates, directors, officers and employees undertake any obligation to provide the users of the Benchmark with additional information or to update the information contained therein or to correct any inaccuracies which may become apparent (save as to the extent set out in CHRB appeals procedure). To the maximum extent permitted by law any responsibility or liability for the Benchmark or any related material is expressly disclaimed provided that nothing in this disclaimer shall exclude any liability for, or any remedy in respect of, fraud or fraudulent misrepresentation. Any disputes, claims or proceedings this in connection with or arising in relation to this Benchmark will be governed by and construed in accordance with Dutch law and shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Courts of Amsterdam.

As WBA, we want to emphasise that the results will always be a proxy for good human rights management, and not an absolute measure of performance. This is because there are no fundamental units of measurement for human rights. Human rights assessments are therefore necessarily more subjective than objective. The Benchmark also captures only a snap shot in time. We therefore want to encourage companies, investors, civil society and governments to look at the broad performance bands that companies are ranked within rather than their precise score because, as with all measurements, there is a reasonably wide margin of error possible in interpretation. We also want to encourage a greater analytical focus on how scores improve over time rather than upon how a company compares to other companies in the same industry today. The spirit of the exercise is to promote

continual improvement via an open assessment process and a common understanding of the importance of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

COPYRIGHT

Our publications and benchmarks are the product of the World Benchmarking Alliance. Our work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit creativecommons.org