

## Corporate Human Rights Benchmark 2022 Company Scoresheet



Company Name Nvidia

Industry ICT (Supply Chain only)

Overall Score 14.2 out of 100

Theme Score	Out of	For Theme
3.1	10	A. Governance and Policies
1.4	25	B. Embedding Respect and Human Rights Due Diligence
3.5	20	C. Remedies and Grievance Mechanisms
3.3	25	D. Performance: Company Human Rights Practices
2.8	20	E. Performance: Responses to Serious Allegations

Please note that any small differences between the Overall Score and the added total of Measurement Theme scores are due to rounding the numbers at different stages of the score calculation process.

Please note also that the "Not met" labels in the Explanation boxes below do not necessarily mean that the company does not meet the requirements as they are described in the bullet point short text. Rather, it means that the analysts could not find information *in public sources* that met the requirements *as described in full* in the CHRB 2022 Methodology document for the sector concerned. For example, a "Not met" under "General HRs Commitment", which is the first bullet point for indicator A.1.1, does not necessarily mean that the company does not have a general commitment to human rights. Rather, it means that the CHRB could not identify a public statement of policy in which the company commits to respecting human rights.

#### **Detailed assessment**

#### A. Governance and Policies (10% of Total)

#### A.1 Policy Commitments (5% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
A.1.1	Commitment to respect human rights	2	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Met: Universal Declaration of Human rights (UDHR): The Human Rights policy states that 'we endorse internationally recognized human rights principles, including [] the Universal Declaration of Human Rights'  Score 2  • Met: Commitment to the UNGPs: The Human Rights policy states that 'we endorse internationally recognized human rights principles, including [] the United Nations Guiding Principles' [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]
A.1.2.a	Commitment to respect the human rights of workers: ILO Declaration on Fundamental Principles and Rights at Work	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Met: Company has a commitment to the ILO Core: The Human Rights policy states that 'we endorse internationally recognized human rights principles, including [] ILO Declaration of Fundamental Principles and rights at work' [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  • Not Met: Company has a explicit commitment to All four ILO Core: The Human Rights policy states that 'we do not engage in child labor, forced, bonded, or indentured labor, involuntary prison labor, slavery, trafficking of persons, or physical punishment. We provide equal opportunity for all applicants and employees [] we respect the right of all workers to form and join trade unions, to bargain collectively, and to engage in peaceful assembly as defined by local laws. We also respect the rights to refrain from such activities'. However, it is not clear whether it is committed to promote & respect freedom of association and collective bargaining in all contexts, as it indicates 'as defined by local laws'. In these cases (companies referring to local laws in freedom of association and collective bargaining), companies are expected to provide alternative mechanisms

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			or equivalent workers bodies where the right to freedom of association and collective bargaining is restricted under law. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: <a href="mailto:nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ] Score 2
			Met: Company expect suppliers to commit to ILO Core: See above. The Human Rights policy states that 'We expect our suppliers to maintain progressive employment, environmental, health, safety, and ethical practices that meet or exceed [] this Human Rights Policy'. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022:
			nvidia.com  • Not Met: Company explicitly list All four ILO for suppliers: The CSR Report 2020 indicates that 'manufacturing suppliers comply with () NVIDIA's Code of Conduct' among other requirements. As it was mentioned above, the code covers forced and child labour, discrimination and respects the right of all workers 'to form and join trade unions, to bargain collectively, and to engage in peaceful assembly as defined by local laws'. The Company also treats each individual fairly and does not tolerate discrimination or harassment against anyone. However, It is not clear whether it is
			committed to respect these rights in all contexts and locations (i.e. alternative mechanisms for those countries where there are legal restrictions to the exercise of these rights), as the Company indicates that it respects these rights 'as defined by local laws'. The Human Rights policy presents a similar situation in relation to freedom of association and collective bargaining. [Code of Conduct, 2020: <a href="mailto:nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ] & [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: <a href="mailto:nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ]
A.1.2.b	Commitment to respect the human rights of workers: Health and safety and working hours		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Met: Commitment to respect H&S of workers: The Company indicates that  'NVIDIA is committed to providing a safe and healthy environment for our employees'. [Environmental Health Safety Energy Policy, 26/02/2018: nvidia.com]  • Not Met: Respect ILO labour standards on working hours or Commits to 48 hours regular work week: The Company indicates that 'We offer competitive wages and benefits worldwide and honor working hours and work weeks that meet or exceed local laws'. A similar commitment is placed in the Human Rights policy, which also includes a commitment to endorse the ILO Declaration. However, no evidence found of the Company explicitly committing to respect ILO conventions on working hours or that publicly states that workers are not required to work more than 48 hours as regular working week, and that overtime is consensual and paid at a premium rate. [Code of Conduct, 2020: nvidia.com] & [Human Rights Policy,
		0.5	O7/07/2022: nvidia.com  Score 2  • Met: Expect suppliers to commit to H&S of their workers: Suppliers are expected to follow the RBA code, which contains a specific section on health and safety including requirements on occupational safety, emergency preparedness, occupational injury and illness, industrial hygiene, physically demanding work, machine safeguarding, sanitation, food and housing, and health and safety communication'. [RBA Code of Conduct, 2018: responsiblebusiness.org]  • Not Met: Expect suppliers to commit to ILO labour standard or to 48 hours regular work week: The RBA code, required for suppliers, states that 'working hours are not to exceed the maximum set by local law. Further, a workweek should not be more than 60 hours per week, including overtime, except in emergency or unusual situations. All overtime must be voluntary, workers shall be allowed at least one day off every seven days'. No evidence found, however, in relation to not require workers to work more than 48 hours in a regular work week, or to comply with ILO conventions on working hours. Although suppliers are also required to comply with the Company's human rights policy, this document doesn't contain a commitment to working hours that meets CHRB requirements. [RBA Code of Conduct, 2018: responsiblebusiness.org]
A.1.3.a.ICT	Commitment to respect human rights particularly relevant to the industry – responsible sourcing of minerals (ICT)	1	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Met: Responsible mineral sourcing: The Company indicates that 'NVIDIA is committed to the responsible sourcing of minerals'. [Responsible Minerals Policy, 04/01/2022: images.nvidia.com]  • Met: Based on OECD Guidance: It also states that 'We have implemented chain of custody due diligence practices designed to reasonably assure that minerals in our products are sourced consistently with the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidance for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from Conflict-Affected and High-Risk Areas (CAHRAs)'. [Responsible Minerals Policy, 04/01/2022: images.nvidia.com]  • Met: Requires suppliers to commit to responsible mineral sourcing: The Responsible Minerals Policy indicates that 'NVIDIA expects its suppliers to conduct

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			their business in accordance with this policy, including () acquire materials from conflict-free sources, including within the DRC'. [Responsible Minerals Policy, 04/01/2022: <a href="mailto:images.nvidia.com">images.nvidia.com</a> ] Score 2
			Not Met: Commits to follow OECD Guidance for all minerals: The Company states that it implements sourcing and chain of custody due diligence practices designed to reasonably assure that minerals from the Democratic Republic of Congo and
			adjoining countries (DRC), including gold, tantalum, tungsten and tin (3TG), used in its products do not directly or indirectly finance or benefit armed groups in the DRC. However, no evidence found that the Company has included all minerals in its
			Conflict Minerals Policy. The Company has provided comments to CHRB regarding this subindicator. However, evidence was already in use. This subindicator looks for commitment to apply OECD guidelines for all minerals that are beyond 3TG,
			including any other mineral used in its products, such as cobalt, mica, etc. [Responsible Minerals Policy, 04/01/2022: <a href="mailto:images.nvidia.com">images.nvidia.com</a> ] & [2021 Conflict Minerals Report, 31/05/2022: <a href="mailto:d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net">d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net</a> ]
			Not Met: Suppliers expected to make similar requirements of their suppliers: The Company has provided comments to CHRB regarding this subindicator. However, evidence was already in use. This subindicator looks for commitment to apply OECD guidelines for all minerals that are beyond 3TG, including any other mineral used in its products, such as cobalt, mica, etc. [Responsible Minerals Policy,
A.1.3.b.ICT	Commitment to		04/01/2022: <u>images.nvidia.com</u> ] The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	respect human		Score 1 • Not Met: Women's rights
	rights particularly		Not Met: Children's rights
	relevant to the		<ul> <li>Not Met: Migrant worker's rights</li> <li>Not Met: Expects suppliers to respect at least one of these rights: The previous</li> </ul>
	industry –		assessment was partially based on CSR Report 2020 which CHRB no longer
	vulnerable groups (ICT)	0	considers a suitable source for policy statements. No further evidence found, in relation to whether the Company requires its suppliers to follow the RBA code or it
			has specific requirements for them in relation to women, children, or migrant workers' rights.
			Score 2
			<ul> <li>Not Met: CEDAW/Women's Empowerment Principles</li> <li>Not Met: Child Rights Convention/Business Principles</li> </ul>
			Not Met: Convention on migrant workers
A.1.4	Commitment to		Not Met: Expecting suppliers to respect these rights     The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	remedy		Score 1
			Met: The Company commits to remedy: The Human Rights policy states that 'we are committed to facilitating access to effective justice and remedy ['] Under the
			direction of the NCGC [Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee], our
			Compliance Committee will promptly investigate allegations of human rights violations and pursue actions to mitigate and remediate any adverse human rights
			impacts' [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com] & [CSR Report 2020, 2020:
		1	nvidia.com  • Met: Company expect suppliers to make this commitment: See above. The
			Human rights policy also indicates that 'We expect our suppliers to maintain
			progressive employment, environmental, health, safety, and ethical practices that meet or exceed [] this Human Rights Policy'. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022:
			nvidia.com
			Score 2  • Not Met: Collaborating with other remedy initiatives
			Not Met: Work with suppliers to remedy impact
A.1.5	Commitment to		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1
	respect the rights of human		Not Met: Zero tolerance attacks on HRs Defenders (HRDs): The Human rights
	rights		policy states that 'We do not tolerate retaliation against anyone for making a complaint in good faith, bringing a potential violation to the attention of
	defenders		management, or participating or assisting in an investigation'. No further details
		0	found, including commitment to not tolerate threats or retaliation against human
			rights defenders. Although there's no need to explicitly mention human rights defenders, it is not clear if the commitment also includes people who oppose the
			Company's operations or have raised questions about its activities, whoever they
			are. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: <a href="mailto:nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ]  • Not Met: Company expect suppliers to make this commitment [Human Rights
			Policy, 07/07/2022: <u>nvidia.com</u> ]

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			Score 2
			Not Met: Work with HRD to create safe and enabling environment: The Company
			has provided feedback to CHRB regarding this indicator. However, evidence was
			not material. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]

### A.2 Policy Commitments (5% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
A.2.1	Commitment from the top		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Met: Board level responsibility for HRs: The Human Rights policy states that 'The
		0.5	Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee (NCGC) of the Board of Directors has oversight over policies and practices in connection with human rights, including this Human Rights Policy'. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022:
			nvidia.com  • Not Met: Describe HR expertise of Board member  Score 2
A.2.2	Board		Not Met: Speeches/letters by Board members or CEO     The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	responsibility		Score 1 • Met: Board/Committee review HRs strategy: The Human rights policy indicates that 'The NCGC [nominating and Corporate Governance Committee] undertakes all actions it deems reasonable and necessary to ensure compliance with this Human Rights Policy, conducting regular consultation with a cross-functional business, legal, and technical team within NVIDIA, including senior-level management, and
		1	seeking input from third-party stakeholders and experts. []. The NCGC provides regular updates to the entire Board of Directors regarding human rights impacts and compliance with this Human Rights Policy. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  • Not Met: Examples/trends re HR discussion in the last reporting period Score 2  • Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1
A.2.3	Incentives and		Not Met: How affected stakeholders/HR experts informed discussions     The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
N.Z.S	performance management	0	<ul> <li>Not Met: Incentives for at least one board member</li> <li>Not Met: At least one key HR risk, beyond employee H&amp;S: The Company has provided comments to CHRB regarding this subindicator. However, evidence was not material. This subindicator looks for evidence of how the Company provides remuneration incentives for performance in relation to Company's human rights issues to Supervisory Board members. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]</li> <li>Score 2</li> <li>Not Met: Performance criteria made public</li> <li>Not Met: Review of other board performance criteria</li> </ul>
A.2.4	Business model strategy and risks	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Board process to review bussiness model and strategy: The human Rights policy states that 'The Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee of the Board of Directors (NCGC) has oversight over policies and practices in connection with human rights, including this Human Rights Policy. The NCGC undertakes all actions it deems reasonable and necessary to ensure compliance with this Human Rights Policy, conducting regular consultation with a crossfunctional business, legal, and technical team within NVIDIA, including senior-level management, and seeking input from third-party stakeholders and experts. The NCGC provides regular updates to the entire Board of Directors regarding human rights impacts and compliance with this Human Rights Policy'. No details were found, however, on whether this processes can trigger a board process to review business model or strategy for inherent risks to human rights. Current evidence seems to refer to supervision policy compliance, rather to whether Company's business model can be reviewed as consequence of impacts on human rights. The policy also states that 'When we create new products, platforms, or services, we have an interdisciplinary team evaluate whether our offerings could be used in conflict with this Human Rights Policy and provide recommendations to minimize any such risk'. It is not clear, however, whether these evaluations reach board level and can affect the Company's strategy or business model. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  Not Met: Describe frequency and triggers for reviewing

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			Score 2
			Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1
			Not Met: Example of actions decided

### B. Embedding Respect and Human Rights Due Diligence (25% of Total)

# B.1 Embedding Respect for Human Rights in Company Culture and Management Systems (10% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
Indicator Code B.1.1	Responsibility and resources for day-to-day human rights functions	Score (out of 2)	Explanation  The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1  Not Met: Score of 1 on A.1.2.a: See indicator A.1.2.a  Not Met: Senior responsibility for HR implementation and decision making: The Company indicates that the Supervisory Board's NCGC conducts 'regular consultation with a cross-functional business, legal and technical team within NVIDIA, including senior-level management, and seeking input from third-party stakeholders and experts'. However, it is not clear if there's a specific senior manager role (person or committee at senior executive level) accountable for implementation and decision making on human rights issues within the Company. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com] Score 2  Not Met: How it assigns Day-to-day responsibility: Although the Company indicates, as indicated above, that it's Board level committee regularly consults with people at senior management level, no details found in relation to how it assigns responsibility for implementing its human rights policy commitments for day-to-day management. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  Not Met: Day-to-day resources and expertise allocation in own ops: Although the Company indicates, as indicated above, that it's Board level committee regularly consults with people at senior management level, no details found in relation to how it allocates resources and expertise for the day-to-day management of
B.1.2	Incentives and performance management		relevant human right issues within its own operations. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  • Not Met: Resources and expertise allocation in the supply chain  The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Not Met: Senior manager incentives for human rights  • Not Met: At least one key HR risk, beyond employee H&S: The Company has
		0	provided comments to CHRB regarding this subindicator. However, evidence was not material. This subindicator looks for evidence of how the Company provides remuneration incentives for performance in relation to Company's human rights issues to senior executive members.  Score 2  Not Met: Performance criteria made public  Not Met: Review of other senior management performance
B.1.3	Integration with enterprise risk management	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: HR risks is integrated as part of enterprise risk system  Not Met: Provides an example  Score 2  Not Met: Audit Ctte or independent risk assessment: The Human rights policy indicates that 'Under the direction of the NCGC [Nominating and Corporate Governance Committee], our Compliance Committee will promptly investigate human rights allegations and pursue actions to mitigate and remediate any adverse human rights impacts'. However, this indicator looks for evidence of the Company assessing adequacy of the ERM in managing human rights risks, in case the Company has integrated human rights within the company enterprise risk management system. In that case, that evaluation is either carried out by a thirds party or is overseen by the Board's Audit Committee.
B.1.4.a	Communication /dissemination of policy commitment(s) to workers and external stakeholders	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Score of 1 on A.1.2.a: See indicator A.1.2.a  Met: Communicates its policy to all workers in own operations: The Code of conduct states that 'we complete training on our code upon hire and then every two years'. The Code contains human rights commitments. Training is assumed to take place in workers' local language. [Code of Conduct, 2020: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ] & [Company policies website, N/A: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ] Score 2  Not Met: Communication of policy commitments to stakeholder

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			• Not Met: How policy commitments are made accessible to audience: The Code of conduct states that Every 'NVIDIA employee and board member is expected to read, understand, and comply with Our Code [] Along with the corporate guidelines and policies linked within Our Code, we also follow the additional policies on NVINFO. The Company clarifies that NVINFO is the Company's intranet. Although the Company's policies are available both on the external website and the intranet, this subindicator looks for evidence of how the Company ensures that communications made to external stakeholders (not suppliers) are made accessible to its intended audience (frequency and form in which communications take place). [Code of Conduct, 2020: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ] & [Company policies website, N/A: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ]
B.1.4.b	Communication /dissemination of policy commitment(s) to business relationships	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Meets ILO requirement for suppliers on A.1.2.a: See indicator A.1.2.a  Met: Requires suppliers to communicate policy requirements: The Company states that 'All our suppliers are required to comply with NVIDIA's code of conduct, our CSR Directive, and our policies related to human rights. They're required to sign an "Agreement for Manufacturer Environmental Compliance," which includes all relevant product regulatory compliance standards, social compliance standards, chemical management, and the RBA Code. We also encourage them to use the RBA Code as a platform to go above and beyond compliance'. The company has a commitment to the RBA CoC which says, 'Participants shall adopt or establish a management system whose scope is related to the content of this CodeThe management system should contain the following elements: A process for communicating clear and accurate information about Participant's policies, practices, expectations and performance to workers, suppliers and customers'. [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]  Score 2  Met: How HR commitments made binding/contractual: As indicated above, all suppliers are required to sign an 'agreement for manufacturer environmental Compliance', a document in which the RBA code is embedded. [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]  Not Met: Company requires suppliers to cascade down to their suppliers
B.1.5	Training on Human Rights	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Scores at least 1 on A.1.2.a  Met: How workers are trained on HR policy commitments: The Company states that it completes training on its Code of Conduct, which includes human rights policies, upon hire and then every two years. [Code of Conduct, 2020: nvidia.com]  Not Met: Trains relevant managers including procurement: The Company states that 'we train our Worldwide Field Operations team on this Human Rights Policy'. However, this subindicator looks for specific evidence of training for relevant managers, including at least procurement, in relation to human rights aspects relevant to their role. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  Score 2  Not Met: Score of 2 on A.1.2.a  Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1  Not Met: Trains suppliers to meet company's HR commitment  Met: Disclose % trained: The Company states in its CSR Report that 'All NVIDIA employees receive ethics and sexual harassment training. Upon hire and then every two years thereafter they also complete training in our code of conduct, which covers environmental and social responsibility issues. As of March 2021, nearly 100% of employees had completed this training.' [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]
B.1.6	Monitoring and corrective actions	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Scores at least 1 on A.1.2.a  Not Met: Monitoring implementation of HR policy commitments across global ops and supply chain: The Company states that 'We evaluated all contract manufacturers and direct material suppliers on geographic location, manufacturing processes, past supplier environmental responsibility performance, and public reports. We tracked supplier working hours through VAP, CAPs, or RBA working hours templates. We worked with suppliers to ensure their compliance with the principles of zero hiring fees and freely chosen employment'. It is not clear, however, how it monitors compliance within its own workforce. [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]  Met: Proportion of supply chain monitored: The Company indicates that it conducted risk assessment in 100% of strategic suppliers and that 100% of

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			suppliers in the top 80% of spending completed RBA self-assessment questionnaires. [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]  • Not Met: Describe how workers are involved in monitoring Score 2  • Not Met: Score of 2 on A.1.2.a: See indicator A.1.2.a
			Not Met: Describes corrective action process: The Company indicates that 'We continue monitoring to ensure that suppliers demonstrate effective processes to ensure compliance. Specific actions on non-compliance issues vary depending on the type of finding and supplier'. [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]
			Met: Disclose findings and number of corrective action: The Company indicates that 'We engaged 13 suppliers on their CAPs from the FY21 auditing season.  Common findings include issues related to occupational safety, emergency preparedness, working hours, freely chosen employment, and wages and benefits'. [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: <a href="images.nvidia.com">images.nvidia.com</a> ]
B.1.7	Engaging and terminating business relationships	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: HR affects selection of suppliers: Regarding social issues, including forced labour, child labour and FoA & CB, the Company indicates that 'In 2016 we implemented a process for new suppliers, which includes screening them for environmental and social criteria. 100% of new suppliers were screened in FY20'. However there is no further detail about how this information is used when deciding on potential business relationships. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  Not Met: HR affects on-going supplier relationships: Regarding social issues, including forced labour, child labour and FoA & CB, the company indicates 'We ask our suppliers to submit RBA Self Assessment Questionnaires on an annual basis, in which they self-report information on child labor, [forced or bonded labor], [freedom of association and collective bargaining]. We validate this with critical tier 1 suppliers through the RBA Validated Audit Process protocol'. In the CSR 21 report it states that 'for strategic suppliers, agreements are deployed and tracked through a quarterly business review (QBR) process to make certain that they uphold our requirements. Business allocation decisions are influenced by QBR performance. Each quarter, overall supplier assessment is reviewed by product category and performance is ranked. Five of 100 QBR points are allocated to CSR issues, and requirements vary by quarter. However there is no further detail about how this information is used when making decisions to renew or terminate business relationships, and up to what level human rights are relevant considering that CSR as a whole account for 5% of the score. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  Score 2  Not Met: Describe positive incentives offered to respect human rights: The Company details its supplier selection process requirements for FoA & CB, Child Labour, and Forced labour, which says 'We ask our suppliers to submit RBA Self Assessment Questionnaires on an annual basis,
			Met: Working with suppliers to meet HR requirements: The Company indicates that 'We worked with suppliers to ensure their compliance with the principles of zero hiring fees and freely chosen employment. We assigned Learning Academy courses to 11 suppliers: Hours of Work, Working Hours Recording System, Working Hours Management System, The Hiring Process, Recruitment and Selection, Hiring and Working with Migrant Workers, Wages and Benefits, Creating Motivating Wage Systems, and Improving Your Dormitories'. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: <a href="mailto:nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ]
B.1.8	Approach to engagement with affected stakeholders	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Stakeholder process or systems to identify and engage with workers/communities in the last two years  Not Met: Discloses stakeholders that HRs may be affected  Not Met: Provides two examples of engagement with stakeholders  Score 2  Not Met: Analysis of stakeholder views on company's HR issues
			Not Met: Analysis of stakeholder views on company's HR issues     Not Met: Describe how views influenced company's HR approach

### **B.2 Human Rights Due Diligence (15% of Total)**

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
B.2.1	Indicator name Identifying human rights risks and impacts	Score (out of 2)	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Identifying risks in own operations: The Company indicates in its human rights policy that 'we regularly assess human rights-related risks and potential impacts, review our policies and seek input from stakeholders on our approach'. It also indicates that 'when we provide tools to help developers create applications for specific industries, we focus on creating products and services that enable developers to create and accelerate socially beneficial applications that will promote human welfare everywhere'. However, not description was found in relation to the actual processes followed to identify which are the Company's potential impacts on human rights in its own operations. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  Not Met: Identifying risks through relevant business relationships: We conduct diligence on our customers and do not pursue any business that we believe to be illegal, unethical, or immoral. We expect our customers to respect human rights whenever they use our products or services.  We cross-check all customers against the restrictions imposed by U.S. agencies. We require all customers, resellers, and distributors to follow U.S. export control laws, as well as all applicable restrictions on the sale, distribution, and use of our products. Where we lack sufficient information on a potential customer to make an informed decision, we use a third party to provide independent research to ensure that NVIDIA does not make any prohibited sale [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  Score 2  Not Met: Describe ongoing global risk identification in consultation with stakeholder/HR experts: The Company indicates in its human rights policy that 'we regularly assess human rights-related risks and potential impacts, review our policies and seek input from stakeholders on our approach'. However, no details found in relation to the actual ongoing process, stakeholders engaged and whether it engages with human rights
B.2.2	Assessing human rights risks and impacts	0	• Not Met: Triggered by new circumstances • Not Met: Describes risks identified  The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1 • Not Met: Describe process for assessment of HR risks and discloses salient HR issues: The Company indicates that 'We conduct diligence on our customers and do not pursue any business that we believe to be illegal, unethical, or immoral. We expect our customers to respect human rights whenever they use our products or services. We cross-check all customers against the restrictions imposed by U.S. agencies. We require all customers, resellers, and distributors to follow U.S. export control laws, as well as all applicable restrictions on the sale, distribution, and use of our products. Where we lack sufficient information on a potential customer to make an informed decision, we use a third-party to provide independent research to ensure that NVIDIA does not make any prohibited sale'. However, this seems to focus on assessments downstream (end user). This subindicator looks for evidence of how the Company assesses its risks and impacts as consequence of the creation of its products and services. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com] • Not Met: How process applies to supply chain: The Company provides a chart where it describes performance against RBA membership, including risk assessments on all suppliers, self assessment questionnaires, validated audit process, corrective action plans, compliance with labor, health and safety, ethics, etc. However, this indicator looks for evidence of the Company indicating which are its specific issues assessed as salient following a due diligence process of risk identification and assessment. However, this evidence seems to focus in how the Company monitors compliance with specific issues. This subindicator looks for evidence of how the Company has a process that allows them to assess which are the relevant risks that it faces. [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com] • Not Met: Public disclosure of the r

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			labor or ethics issues. Therefore we view our supply chain as presenting the greater risk in respect of slavery and trafficking'. However, no further details found (including last revision) in relation to which are the Company's salient issues. This seems to focus in exposure to specific risk, rather than the outcome of a complete due diligence process that allows an integral saliency assessment. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ] Score 2  • Not Met: Meets all requirements under score 1
			Not Met: How it involved affected stakeholders in the assessment
B.2.3	Integrating and acting on human rights risks and impact assessments	0	·
			nvidia.com]

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
B.2.4	Tracking the effectiveness of actions to respond to human rights risks and impacts	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: System for tracking or monitor if actions taken are effective: The company identifies the different areas of Supply Chain Performance such as Labour and Health & Safety and gives a brief explanation of whether they are tracked or evaluated. It indicates that 30% of high or moderate risk suppliers have lowered RBA risk performance'. However, this indicator looks for a system of how it tracks broader actions to mitigate the different salient risks. Current evidence seems to refer a to a general improve in performance in compliance with code. As indicated below, the Company reports in relation to tracking corrective action plans including some specific issues. However, these seems to respond to specific cases of noncompliance. It is not clear whether overall, the company is improving in relation to those specific risks. [CSR Report 2019, 2019: nvidia.com] & [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  Not Met: Lessons learnt from checking system effectiveness: The Company states  We tracked supplier working hours through VAP, CAPs, or RBA working-hours templates. We closed CAPs covering all health and safety issues.' However, no evidence was found on lessons learned from checking the effectiveness of actions. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  Score 2  Not Met: Meets both requirements under score 1  Not Met: Involve stakeholders in evaluation of actions taken
B.2.5	Communicating on human rights impacts	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Provides two examples of comms with stakeholders: The company states in its Code of Conduct that 'Refer any questions from investors or financial analysts to our CFO or Investor Relations department and any questions from the press or other media outlets to our Corporate Communications department.'  However, no information found regarding stakeholder communication. The Company has provided comments to CHRB regarding this subindicator. However, no evidence found of how the Company provided a specific response in relation to concrete impacts on human rights raised by affected stakeholders. [Code of Conduct, 2020: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> ]  Score 2  Not Met: Describe challenges to effective comms and how it is working to address them

### C. Remedies and Grievance Mechanisms (20% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
C.1	Grievance channel(s)/mec hanism(s) to receive complaints or concerns from workers	2	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Met: Channel accessible to all workers: The Company states that its employees must report suspected violations of its Code to a manager, human resources or legal representative, or NVIDIA Compliance, and they must respond and promptly elevate it by emailing NVIDIA Compliance. An anonymous report can be reported through the Speak Up Lines. Its employees can also report such activity via the Global Human Trafficking Hotline. [Code of Conduct, 2020: nvidia.com]  Score 2  • Met: Channel is available in all appropriate languages and workers aware: The Company in its 2020 CSR Report says 'We also comply with the RBA's guidance regarding stakeholder grievances related to our social and environmental performance. We use the RBA Self-Assessment Questionnaire and VAP Audit Program to validate that our suppliers have proper grievance mechanisms in place and that employees are trained in using these methods. We manage any corrective actions related to grievance mechanisms through the RBA-Online Corrective Action Plan process.' The Company also indicates that 'anyone' can lodge a complaint. The EthicsPoint is available to anyone on a website, and it is available in 7 languages.' [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			Met: Describe how workers in the supply chain have access to grievance mechanism: The Company in its 2020 CSR Report says 'We also comply with the RBA's guidance regarding stakeholder grievances related to our social and environmental performance. We use the RBA Self-Assessment Questionnaire and VAP Audit Program to validate that our suppliers have proper grievance mechanisms in place and that employees are trained in using these methods. We manage any corrective actions related to grievance mechanisms through the RBA-Online Corrective Action Plan process'. The RBA code includes grievance mechanism requirements. It also states that it 'shall also require its next tier suppliers to acknowledge and implement the code'. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  Met: Expect Suppliers to convey expectation to their own suppliers: The Company in its 2020 CSR Report says 'We also comply with the RBA's guidance regarding stakeholder grievances related to our social and environmental performance. We use the RBA Self-Assessment Questionnaire and VAP Audit Program to validate that our suppliers have proper grievance mechanisms in place and that employees are trained in using these methods. We manage any corrective actions related to grievance mechanisms through the RBA-Online Corrective Action Plan process'. The RBA code includes grievance mechanism requirements. It also states that it 'shall also require its next tier suppliers to acknowledge and implement the code'. [CSR]
C.2	Grievance channel(s)/mec hanism(s) to receive complaints or concerns from external individuals and communities	1.5	Report 2020, 2020: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1  • Met: Grievance mechanism for community: The Company says in its 2020 CSR Report 'Anyone can confidentially and anonymously lodge a complaint about any accounting, internal control, auditing, code of conduct, conflict of interest, or other matter of concern using a corporate hotline, which is hosted by an independent third party'. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> Score 2  • Met: Describes accessibility and local languages and stakeholder awareness: As indicated above, 'anyone' can lodge a complaint. The EthicsPoint is available to anyone on a website, and it is available in 7 languages. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> • Met: Communities access mechanism direct or through suppliers: As above. Anyone can lodge a complaint. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: <a href="nvidia.com">nvidia.com</a> • Not Met: Expect supplier to convey expectation to their own suppliers: The Company indicates in its 2020 CSR Report 'We are committed to a strong workplace culture that provides effective grievance mechanisms for our employees. To report practices or actions believed to be inappropriate or illegal, employees have several channels through which to report, including our human resources departments, a suggestion box, and a third-party anonymous service'. However the paragraph only refers to employees, and thus it is not clear if those grievance channels are accessible to external individuals and communities. The RBA Code requires 'programs that ensure the confidentiality, anonymity and protection of supplier and employee whistleblowers are to be maintained, unless prohibited by law. Participants should have a communicated process for their personnel to be able to raise any concerns without fear of retaliation'. No evidence found of requirement to have a channel available for external stakeholders. [CSR Report 2020, 2020:
C.3	Users are involved in the design and performance of the channel(s)/mec hanism(s)	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Engages users to create or assess system: The Company in its 2020 CSR Report says, 'To track employee engagement and retention trends, we have conducted a global employee survey every 18 to 24 months. More than 90 percent of our workforce participates in these surveys. To pivot more quickly to address their concerns, in FY20 we moved toward an always-on survey model. Data will continue to be analyzed at a fine level, enabling us to zero in on issues that may be specific to certain teams or job levels. Our survey asks for feedback across 13 dimensions, including strength of culture, engagement, satisfaction, vision and direction, and work-life flexibility. The survey repeatedly tells us that our employees feel great pride in the company— 92 percent recommend NVIDIA as a great place to work and 96 percent believe that.' However it is not clear whether this process is used to incorporate feedback into the grievance mechanism. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  Not Met: Examples (at least two) of how they do this: The Company in its 2020 CSR Report says, 'To track employee engagement and retention trends, we have conducted a global employee survey every 18 to 24 months. More than 90 percent of our workforce participates in these surveys. To pivot more quickly to address

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			their concerns, in FY20 we moved toward an always-on survey model. Data will continue to be analyzed at a fine level, enabling us to zero in on issues that may be specific to certain teams or job levels. Our survey asks for feedback across 13 dimensions, including strength of culture, engagement, satisfaction, vision and direction, and work-life flexibility. The survey repeatedly tells us that our employees feel great pride in the company— 92 percent recommend NVIDIA as a great place to work and 96 percent believe that.' However it is not clear whether this process is used to incorporate feedback into the grievance mechanism. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  Score 2  Not Met: Engages with potential or actual users on the improvement of the mechanism: The Company in its 2020 CSR Report says, 'To track employee engagement and retention trends, we have conducted a global employee survey every 18 to 24 months. More than 90 percent of our workforce participates in these surveys. To pivot more quickly to address their concerns, in FY20 we moved toward an always-on survey model. Data will continue to be analyzed at a fine level, enabling us to zero in on issues that may be specific to certain teams or job levels. Our survey asks for feedback across 13 dimensions, including strength of culture, engagement, satisfaction, vision and direction, and work-life flexibility. The survey repeatedly tells us that our employees feel great pride in the company— 92 percent recommend NVIDIA as a great place to work and 96 percent believe that.' However it is not clear whether this process is used to incorporate feedback into the grievance mechanism. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]
			Not Met: Provides user engagement example (at least two) on improvement
C.5	Procedures related to the mechanism(s)/c hannel(s) are equitable, publicly available and explained  Prohibition of retaliation for	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Response timescales and how complainants will be informed  Not Met: Describe support (technical, financial,etc) available for equal access by complainants  Score 2  Not Met: Describe types of outcome to complainant through use of mechanism  Not Met: Escalation to senior/independent level: The Company indicates that  Under the direction of the NCGC, our Compliance Committee will promptly investigate human rights allegations and pursue actions to mitigate and remediate any adverse human rights impacts. However, this indicator looks for evidence of how complainants (including external stakeholders) can escalate their complains to more senior levels or independent third parties the challenge the process/outcome. [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1
	raising complaints or concerns	0	Not Met: Public statement prohibiting retaliation: The Company states that it will take appropriate disciplinary action for any retaliation against someone making a complaint in good faith, bringing a potential violation to the attention of management, or participating or assisting in an investigation. In addition, the Human Rights policy states that 'We do not tolerate retaliation against anyone for making a complaint in good faith, bringing a potential violation to the attention of management, or participating or assisting in an investigation'. [Code of Conduct, 2020: nvidia.com] & [Human Rights Policy, 07/07/2022: nvidia.com]  Not Met: Practical measures to prevent retaliation Score 2  Not Met: Company indicate it will not retaliate against workers/stakeholders  Not Met: Expects suppliers to prohibit retaliation against workers/stakeholders
C.6	Company involvement with state- based judicial and non- judicial grievance mechanisms	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Complainants not asked to waive rights  Not Met: Company does not require confidentiality provisions  Score 2  Not Met: Will work with state based non judicial mechanisms  Not Met: Example of issue resolved (if applicable)
C.7	Remedying adverse impacts	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Describes how remedy has been provided  Not Met: Says how it would provide remedy for victims if no adverse impact identified: The Company states 'When violations are discovered, we require suppliers to return hiring fees to workers' However, this falls in line with corrective actions and not remedy. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			Score 2  • Not Met: Changes to systems, processes and practices to stop similar impact  • Not Met: Describe approach to monitoring implementation of agreed remedy  • Not Met: Approach to learning from incident to prevent future impacts
C.8	Communication on the effectiveness of grievance mechanism(s) and incorporating lessons learned	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Number grievances filed, addressed or resolved and outcome achieved: The Company in its 2020 CSR Report says 'We also comply with the RBA's guidance regarding stakeholder grievances related to our social and environmental performance. We use the RBA Self-Assessment Questionnaire and VAP Audit Program to validate that our suppliers have proper grievance mechanisms in place and that employees are trained in using these methods. We manage any corrective actions related to grievance mechanisms through the RBA-Online Corrective Action Plan process.' However no evidence found regarding the number of human rights grievances that have been filed, and either addressed or resolved. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  Not Met: How lessons from mechanism improve management system Score 2  Not Met: Evaluation of the channel/mechanism and changes made as result  Not Met: Describes procedures to address delays of outcomes agreed with stakeholders

### D. Performance: Company Human Rights Practices (25% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.1.b	Living wage (in		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	the supply		Score 1
	chain)		Not Met: Discloses living wage requirements in supplier code or contracts
		0	Not Met: Improving living wage practices of suppliers
			Score 2
			Not Met: Assessment of number affected by payment below living wage
			Not Met: Provides analysis of trends demonstrating progress
D.4.2	Aligning		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	purchasing		Score 1
	decisions with		Not Met: Avoids business model pressure on HRs (purchasing practices): The
	human rights		Company details its supplier selection process requirements for FoA & CB, Child
	Trainan rights		Labour, and Forced labour, which indicates 'We ask our suppliers to submit RBA
			Self Assessment Questionnaires on an annual basis, in which they self-report
			information on[child labour, forced labour, FoA & CB]. We validate this with
		0	critical tier 1 suppliers through the RBA Validated Audit Process protocol'. However
			this does not provide sufficient detail on the practices it adopts to avoid
			undermining human rights. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: <u>nvidia.com</u> ]
			Not Met: Practices adopted to pay suppliers in line with agreed timeframes
			Not Met: Review own operations to mitigate negative impact
			Score 2
			Not Met: Meets all requirements under score 1
			Not Met: Examples of how it assessed, addressed and change purchasing
			practices
D.4.3	Mapping and disclosing the supply chain		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
			Score 1
		0	Not Met: Identifies direct and indirect suppliers back to manufacturing sites
			(factories or fields)
			Score 2
			Not Met: Discloses names and locations of significant parts of SP and why
			Not Met: Discloses which direct or indirect suppliers is involved in higher-risk
D 4 4 1	D 1:1::: C		activities  The individual elements of the accessor and acceptance to a fallows.
D.4.4.b	Prohibition of		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	child labour:		Score 1
	Age verification		• Met: Child Labour rules in codes or contracts: The company state 'Child labor is
	and corrective		not to be used in any stage of manufacturing'. [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022:
	actions (in the		<ul> <li>images.nvidia.com]</li> <li>Met: How working with suppliers on child labour: The Company indicators 'We</li> </ul>
	supply chain)	1	ask our suppliers to submit RBA Self-Assessment Questionnaires on an annual
	Supply cham,		basis, in which they self-report information on child labor. We validate this with
			critical tier 1 suppliers through the RBA Validated Audit Process protocol.' [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]
			Score 2
			Not Met: Assessement of number affected by child labour in supply chain
	<u> </u>		- Not wet. Assessement of number affected by child labour in supply chain

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.5.b	Prohibition of forced labour: Recruitment fees and costs (in the supply chain)	1	<ul> <li>Not Met: Analysis of trends in progress made</li> <li>The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows: Score 1</li> <li>Met: Debt and fees rules in codes or contracts: The Company indicates that it is a full member of the RBA and requires its suppliers to comply with the RBA Code of Conduct, which states 'forced, bonded (including debt bondage) or indentured labor, involuntary or exploitative prison labor, slavery or trafficking of persons shall not be usedworkers shall not be required to pay employers' or agents' recruitment fees or other related fees for their employment. If any such fees are found to have been paid by workers, such fees shall be repaid to the worker'. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: midia.com] &amp; [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]</li> <li>Met: How working with suppliers on debt &amp; fees: The Company indicates 'We use the RBA Self-Assessment</li> <li>Questionnaire and VAP Audit Program to validate that our suppliers have proper grievance mechanisms in place and that employees are trained to use these methods. We manage any corrective actions related to grievance mechanisms through the RBA-Online Corrective Action Plan process.' [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]</li> <li>Score 2</li> <li>Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by payment of recruitment fees</li> <li>Not Met: Analysis of trends in progress made</li> </ul>
D.4.5.d	Prohibition of forced labour: Wage practices (in the supply chain)	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Requirement for suppliers to pay workers in full and on time in codes or contracts  Not Met: How working with supply chain to pay workers regularly and on time Score 2  Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by failure to pay directly  Not Met: Provides analysis of trends demonstrating progress
D.4.5.f	Prohibition of forced labour: Restrictions on workers (in the supply chain)	1	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Met: Free movement rules in codes or contracts: The Company indicates that it is a full member of the RBA and requires its suppliers to comply with the RBA Code of Conduct, which states 'All work must be voluntary, and workers shall be free to leave work at any time or terminate their employment. Employers and agents may not hold or otherwise destroy, conceal, confiscate or deny access by employees to their identity or immigration documents, such as government-issued identification, passports or work permits, unless such holdings are required by law.' [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com] & [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]  • Met: How working with suppliers on free movement: The Company state 'In addition to supporting and aligning with the RBA code regarding freely chosen labor, we follow the legal requirements of the Federal Acquisition Regulation and UK Modern Slavery Act 2015. We track issues through the RBA Validated Assessment Program and work directly with suppliers to implement any corrective actions. Anyone can confidentially and anonymously report a concern about supply chain or human rights issues using a corporate hotline, which is hosted by an independent third party.' [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]  Score 2  • Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by retaining docs or restricting movement  • Not Met: Provides analysis of trends demonstrating progress
D.4.6.b	Freedom of association and collective bargaining (in the supply chain)	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Not Met: FoA & CB rules in codes or contracts: Although the Company is a member of the RBA, the RBA code refers to the context 'in accordance with local laws'. It is not clear if there's a requirement to respect these rights, including non-retaliation against workers due to the exercise of these rights in countries where these rights are restricted under local laws. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  • Not Met: How working with suppliers on FoA and CB: The Company states that 'We ask our suppliers to submit RBA Self Assessment Questionnaires on an annual basis, in which they self-report information on freedom of association and collective bargaining. We validate this with critical Tier 1 suppliers through the RBA Validated Audit Process protocol We assessed compliance with updated RBA Code with respect to labor fees and freedom of association'. However no evidence found of proactive work carried out with suppliers to improve their performance in relation to this topic. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
			Score 2 • Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by restrictions to FoA and CB in the SP
			Not Met: Provides analysis of trends demonstrating progress
D.4.7.b	Health and safety: Fatalities, lost days, injury, occupational disease rates (in the supply chain)	0.5	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  • Met: Sets out clear Health and Safety requirements: The Company indicates that it is a full member of the RBA and requires its suppliers to comply with the RBA Code of Conduct, which sets out Health and Safety expectations on subjects including: Occupational Safety; Emergency Preparedness; Occupational Injury and Illness; Industrial Hygiene; Physically Demanding Work; Machine Safeguarding; Sanitation, Food and Housing; Health and Safety Communication. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  • Met: Injury rate disclosures and lost days (or near miss disclosures) for the last reporting period: The company reports 'Less than 1% of leave-of-absence requests within NVIDIA relate to work and work-related injury losses are significantly below the averages for our industry. Our recordable incident rate for FY21 is 0.07 with zero lost workdays.' [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]  • Met: Fatalities disclosures for lasting reporting period: The Company reports zero fatalities for the last reporting period. [CSR Report 2021, 25/06/2022: images.nvidia.com]  • Not Met: Occupational disease rates for the last reporting period Score 2  • Not Met: How working with suppliers on H&S  • Not Met: Assessment of the number affected by H&S issues in the SP
D.4.8.b	Women's rights (in the supply chain)	0	Not Met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made  The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Women's rights in codes or contracts  Not Met: How working with suppliers on women's rights  Score 2  Not Met: Assessment on the number affected by discrimination or unsafe working conditions  Not Met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made
D.4.9.b	Working hours (in the supply chain)	0	The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:  Score 1  Not Met: Working hours in codes or contracts: Although the company is a member of the RBA, the code of conduct states that 'Working hours are not to exceed the maximum set by local law. Further, a workweek should not be more than 60 hours per week, including overtime, except in emergency or unusual situations. Workers shall be allowed at least one day off every seven days'. However the 60 hour limit is not consistent with the ILO international Standards of a 48 hour working week, with additional hours paid at overtime. [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com] & [Code of Conduct, 2020: nvidia.com]  Not Met: How working with suppliers on working hours: The Company says 'We tracked supplier working hours through VAP, CAPs, or RBA working-hours templates. We worked with suppliers to address and comply with zero hiring fees and freely chosen employment. We assigned Learning Academy courses to eight suppliers, including: Hours of Work; Working Hours Recording System; Working Hours Management System'. However this indicator looks for proactive work carried out with suppliers to improve their performance and practices, not focused in regular audits and monitoring, [CSR Report 2020, 2020: nvidia.com]  Score 2  Not Met: Assessment of number affected by excessive working hours  Not Met: Provide analysis of trends in progress made

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.10.a	Responsible		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	mineral		Score 1
	sourcing:		Met: Due diligence in accordance with OECD Guidance in supplier contracts: The  Company states that 'All our suppliers are required to comply with NV/DIA's code of
	Arrangements		Company states that 'All our suppliers are required to comply with NVIDIA's code of conduct, our CSR Directive, and our policies related to human rights. They're
	with suppliers		required to sign an "Agreement for Manufacturer Environmental Compliance,"
	and		which includes all relevant product regulatory compliance standards, social
	smelters/refine		compliance standards, chemical management, and the RBA Code. We also
	rs in the		encourage them to use the RBA Code as a platform to go above and beyond
	mineral		compliance'. The company has a commitment to the RBA CoC which says,
	resource supply		'Participants shall adopt a policy and exercise due diligence on the source and chain
	chains		of custody of the tantalum, tin, tungsten, and gold in the products they
			manufacture to reasonably assure that they are sourced in a way consistent with the Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD) Guidance
			for Responsible Supply Chains of Minerals from ConflictAffected and High-Risk
			Areas or an equivalent and recognized due diligence framework.'. [CSR Report
			2021, 25/06/2022: <u>images.nvidia.com</u> ] & [RBA Code of Conduct, 2018:
			responsiblebusiness.org]
			• Not Met: Works with smelters/refiners and suppliers to build capacity: The
		0.5	Company is 'also part of the Smelter Engagement Team sub-work group of the RMI,
			which performs outreach to smelters, encouraging recognized smelters and
			refiners to participate in the RMAP. Apart from our participation with the Smelter Engagement Team, since 2013 we have also contacted approximately 91 smelters
			and refiners directly to encourage them to be audited through RMAP, including the
			one smelter that is undergoing review by the RMAP as no longer compliant.'
			However, it is unclear how the Company works with suppliers on capacity building.
			[Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 01/06/2020: d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net] &
			[2021 Conflict Minerals Report, 31/05/2022: d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net]
			Score 2
			Met: Contractual requirement to disclosure smelter/refiner information: As stated above, the Company states: 'As a member of the RBA, required that our
			suppliers and contract manufacturers acknowledge and implement the RBA's Code
			of Conduct, which includes an obligation to conduct due diligence regarding conflict
			minerals'. Suppliers 'are required to sign an "Agreement for Manufacturer
			Environmental Compliance," which includes [] the RBA Code.' Part of the RBA
			requires participants to exercise due diligence on the source of conflict minerals
			and make them available to the customer upon request. [Conflict Minerals Report
			2019, 01/06/2020: d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net] & [CSR Report 2020, 2020:
			nvidia.com
D.4.10.b	Pernoncible		Not Met: Contractual requirement covers all minerals  The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
D.4.10.0	Responsible mineral		Score 1
	sourcing: Risk		Not Met: Risk identification and disclosure in line with OECD Guidance: As
	identification		indicated below, the Company carries out a survey process to identify smelters and
	and responses		compares them with lists of smelters and refiners considered as 'Compliant'.
	in mineral		However, no further details found, including which are the risks identified. [Conflict
	supply chain		Minerals Report 2019, 01/06/2020: d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net
	Supply chair		Met: Identification of smelter/refiners and OECD Guidance: The Company identified suppliers that supplied products containing 3TG, requested from those
			suppliers to provide information regarding smelters or refiners through the Conflict
		0.5	Minerals Reporting Template, compared that evidence with the list of 3TG that
			have received a "compliant" designation by the RMAP, and engaging with suppliers
			to get the best information possible. The Company relied in third party audits.
			[Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 01/06/2020: d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net]
			Score 2
			Not Met: Discloses smelters/refiners judged in line with OECD Guidance: The  Company discloses a list of both Compliant and Astive Smelters and Refiners, but it
			Company discloses a list of both Compliant and Active Smelters and Refiners, but it is not clear which are 'Compliant' [Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 01/06/2020:
			d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net]
			Not Met: Risk identification and disclosure covers all minerals
	I	<u> </u>	

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
D.4.10.c	Reporting on		The individual elements of the assessment are met or not as follows:
	responsible		Score 1
	sourcing of		Met: Describes mineral risk management plan for supply chain: The Company
	minerals		describes steps taken, which include, among others: 'Contacted certain smelter and
			refinery facilities that have not received a "complaint" designation from an
			independent third-party audit program to encourage participation'; 'risk mitigation
			and response plan to monitor and track unresponsive suppliers'; 'Requested that
			certain suppliers remove specific smelters or refiners'; The Company also reports to
		0.5	be part of a sub-group work of the RMI that performs outreach to smelters
		0.5	encouraging them to participate in the RMAP. The Executive VP of operations
			receives 'at least quarterly updates' on conflict minerals due diligence and status.
			[Conflict Minerals Report 2019, 01/06/2020: d18rn0p25nwr6d.cloudfront.net]
			Not Met: Monitoring, tracking and whether better risk prevention/mitigation
			over time
			Not Met: Disclose better risk prevention/mitigation over time
			Score 2
			Not Met: Suppliers and stakeholders engaged in risk management strategy
			Not Met: Risk management and response processes cover all minerals

### E. Performance: Responses to Serious Allegations (20% of Total)

Indicator Code	Indicator name	Score (out of 2)	Explanation
E(1).0	Serious		No allegations meeting the CHRB severity threshold were found, and so the score
	allegation No 1		of 11.32 out of 80 points scored in themes A-D has been applied to produce a
			score of 2.83 out of 20 points for theme E.

#### Disclaimer

A score of zero for a particular indicator does not mean that bad practices are present. Rather it means that we have been unable to identify the required information in public documentation.

See the 2020 Key Findings report and the 2019 technical annex for more details of the research process.

The Benchmark is made available on the express understanding that it will be used solely for general information purposes. The material contained in the Benchmark should not be construed as relating to accounting, legal, regulatory, tax, research or investment advice and it is not intended to take into account any specific or general investment objectives. The material contained in the Benchmark does not constitute a recommendation to take any action or to buy or sell or otherwise deal with anything or anyone identified or contemplated in the Benchmark. Before acting on anything contained in this material, you should consider whether it is suitable to your particular circumstances and, if necessary, seek professional advice.

The CHRB is part of the World Benchmarking Alliance ('WBA'). The material in the Benchmark has been put together solely according to the CHRB methodology and not any other assessment models in operation within any of the project partners or EIRIS Foundation as provider of the analyst team.

No representation or warranty is given that the material in the Benchmark is accurate, complete or up-to-date. The material in the Benchmark is based on information that we consider correct and any statements, opinions, conclusions or recommendations contained therein are honestly and reasonably held or made at the time of publication. Any opinions expressed are our current opinions as of the date of the publication of the Benchmark only and may change without notice. Any views expressed in the Benchmark only represent the views of WBA, unless otherwise expressly noted.

While the material contained in the Benchmark has been prepared in good faith, neither WBA nor any of its agents, representatives, advisers, affiliates, directors, officers or employees accept any responsibility for or make any representation or warranty (either express or implied) as to the truth, accuracy, reliability or completeness of the information contained in this Benchmark or any other information made available in connection with the Benchmark. Neither WBA nor any of its agents, representatives, advisers, affiliates, directors, officers and employees undertake any obligation to provide the users of the Benchmark with additional information or to update the information contained therein or to correct any inaccuracies which may become apparent (save as to the extent set out in CHRB appeals procedure). To the maximum extent permitted by law any responsibility or liability for the Benchmark or any related material is expressly disclaimed provided that nothing in this disclaimer shall exclude any liability for, or any remedy in respect of, fraud or fraudulent misrepresentation. Any disputes, claims or proceedings this in connection with or arising in relation to this Benchmark will be governed by and construed in accordance with Dutch law and shall be subject to the exclusive jurisdiction of the Courts of Amsterdam.

As WBA, we want to emphasise that the results will always be a proxy for good human rights management, and not an absolute measure of performance. This is because there are no fundamental units of measurement for human rights. Human rights assessments are therefore necessarily more subjective than objective. The Benchmark also captures only a snap shot in time. We therefore want to encourage companies, investors, civil society and

governments to look at the broad performance bands that companies are ranked within rather than their precise score because, as with all measurements, there is a reasonably wide margin of error possible in interpretation. We also want to encourage a greater analytical focus on how scores improve over time rather than upon how a company compares to other companies in the same industry today. The spirit of the exercise is to promote continual improvement via an open assessment process and a common understanding of the importance of the UN Guiding Principles on Business and Human Rights.

#### COPYRIGHT

Our publications and benchmarks are the product of the World Benchmarking Alliance. Our work is licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution-Non Commercial-No Derivatives 4.0 International License. To view a copy of this license, visit <a href="mailto:creativecommons.org">creativecommons.org</a>