C.H. Robinson Worldwide				
Sector	Transport			
Last full assessment published	October 2022			
CDP Responder	Yes			

3.1E=\*

**#73**/90

**ACT Score (2022)** 

Sector Rank (2022)



## Company recommendations:

**Targets:** The company requires targets which are comprehensive enough to hold management to account for short, medium and long-term action to reduce emissions.

**Emissions reductions and reporting:** The company's activity and emissions reporting does not provide sufficient detail to give a full view of its decarbonisation progress and plans. Improved disclosure will allow for this assessment.

**Transition plan:** The company can improve the elements of its transition plan by ensuring it includes medium and long-term targets, verifiable and quantifiable key performance indicators and financial commitments. The plan should be informed by scenario analysis to ensure that the plan's ambition is sufficient for a 1.5°C pathway.

For the last full ACT assessment and more information visit: Transport Benchmark | World Benchmarking Alliance

Module	Last Assessment Performance (2022)	Current Performance (2023)		Module summary	Change in performance since last full assessment
Targets		Net zero target (all scopes)?	No	C.H. Robinson has set a target to reduce its scope 1 and 2 (location-based) emissions by 40% by 2025. It does not have a scope 3, scopes 1,2 and 3, or net zero target. Its targets have not changed since the last assessment.	
		Interim target(s)?	Yes		Remains the same
		Targets cover all emissions?	No		
		All targets SBTi 1.5°C validated?	No		June
Emissions reductions and reporting		Scope 1 and 2 emissions intensity disclosed?	No	The change in emissions intensity from the previous year could not be calculated due to a lack of data.	_
		Scope 1, 2 and 3 emissions intensity disclosed?	No		Remains the same
Transition Plan		Public transition plan?	Yes	The company has a publicly available transition plan but oversight is not linked to climate-related experience. It has non-monetary incentives related to climate metrics. Its transition plan is informed by IEA's 2DS scenario analysis but does not include detailed financial content. Overall, the company's transition plan has remained the same.	
		Relevant expertise on the board?	No		
		Incentives linked to emissions reductions?	Yes		
		Financial content in plan?	No		Remains the same
		Plan informed by scenario analysis?	Yes		



